# Discovery of a new branch of the Taurid meteoroid stream as a real source of potentially hazardous bodies

P. Spurný<sup>1</sup>, J. Borovička<sup>1</sup>, H. Mucke<sup>2</sup>, and J. Svoreň<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Astronomical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, 25165 Ondřejov, Czech Republic e-mail: pavel.spurny@asu.cas.cz

<sup>2</sup> Astronomisches Büro, 1230 Wien, Austria

<sup>3</sup> Astronomical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 05960 Tatranská Lomnica, Slovak Republic

Received 14 March 2017 / Accepted 1 May 2017

#### ABSTRACT

Taurid meteor shower produces prolonged but usually low activity every October and November. In some years, however, the activity is significantly enhanced. Previous studies based on long-term activity statistics concluded that the enhancement is caused by a swarm of meteoroids locked in 7:2 resonance with Jupiter. Here we present precise data on 144 Taurid fireballs observed by new digital cameras of the European Fireball Network in the enhanced activity year 2015. Orbits of 113 fireballs show common characteristics and form together a well defined orbital structure, which we call new branch and which was evidently responsible for the enhanced activity. This new branch is part of Southern Taurids and was encountered by the Earth between October 25 and November 17. We found that this branch is characterized by longitudes of perihelia lying between 155.9–160° and latitudes of perihelia between 4.2-5.7°. Semimajor axes are between 2.23-2.28 AU and indeed overlap with the 7:2 resonance. Eccentricities are in wide range 0.80-0.90. The most eccentric orbits with lowest perihelion distances were encountered at the beginning of the activity period. The orbits form a concentric ring in the inner solar system. The masses of the observed meteoroids were in a wide range from 0.1 g to more than 1000 kg. We found that all meteoroids larger than 300 g were very fragile (type IIIB), while those smaller than 30 g were much more compact (mostly of type II and some of them even type I). Based on orbital characteristics, we argue that asteroids 2015 TX24 and 2005 UR, both of diameters 200-300 m, are direct members of the new branch. It is therefore very likely that the new branch contains also numerous still not discovered objects of decameter or even larger size. Since asteroids of sizes of tens to hundreds meters pose a threat to the ground even if they are intrinsically weak, impact hazard increases significantly when the Earth encounters the Taurid new branch every few years. Further studies leading to better description of this real source of potentially hazardous objects, which can be large enough to cause significant regional or even continental damage on the Earth, are therefore extremely important.

Key words. meteorites, meteorids - comets: individual: 2P/Encke - minor planets, asteroids: general - Earth

# 1. Introduction

The Taurid meteoroid stream is one of the most studied meteoroid streams. This stream produces at least four meteor showers on Earth: the Northern and Southern Taurids, both active from end of September until December; the Daytime  $\zeta$ -Perseids, active from end of May to the beginning of July; and the Daytime  $\beta$ -Taurids, active in June and the first half of July (Jenniskens 2006). Other showers may be also related to the Taurid stream, namely the Piscids in September,  $\chi$ -Orionids in December, and Daytime May Arietids in May (Jenniskens 2006). Since the work of Whipple (1940), the short period comet 2P/Encke has been considered the most probable parent body of the Taurid stream. It was, nevertheless, proposed that 2P/Encke is just a fragment of a much larger comet, which was disrupted  $10^3$ -10<sup>4</sup> yr ago and formed the whole Taurid complex including a number of asteroids (Clube & Napier 1984; Napier 2010). As more and more asteroids were being discovered over time, the number of asteroids proposed by various authors as members of the Taurid complex increased (e.g., Asher et al. 1993; Babadzhanov 2001; Porubčan et al. 2006; Babadzhanov et al. 2008; Olech et al. 2016). The problem is that the Taurid stream is very extended and the low-inclination short-period Taurid orbits are very common for near-Earth asteroids. Many proposed associations can be therefore just random coincidences. Indeed, the spectra of six large asteroids proposed as members of the Taurid complex showed that five of them are inconsistent with a cometary origin (Popescu et al. 2014).

The activity of Taurids is prolonged but usually of low level. In some years, however, the activity is enhanced, especially in terms of large numbers of bright meteors (fireballs). Asher & Clube (1993) proposed that there is a resonant swarm of meteoroids trapped in the 7:2 resonance with Jupiter. The expected extent of the swarm was  $\pm 30-40^{\circ}$  in mean anomaly. Asher & Izumi (1998) showed that enhanced Taurid activity indeed occurred in the years when the center of the swarm was less than  $40^\circ$  in mean anomaly from the Earth at the beginning of November (the date of Taurid maximum). Asher & Clube (1993) predicted that future encounters would occur in 1995, 1998, 2005, and 2008. In 1995, enhanced Taurid activity was observed by the European Fireball Network (EN) when the rate of registered Taurid fireballs was noticeably higher than is usual for the EN at that time of year (Spurný 1996). Apart from regular Southern and Northern Taurids, five fireballs observed during the last week of October 1995 had distinct but very similar orbits. The radiants lay near the regular southern Taurid radiant, but the initial velocities were larger ( $V_g = 33.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). As a result these orbits had significantly larger semimajor axes



Fig. 1. Stations of the fireball network located in Czech Republic, Slovakia and Austria where DAFO are placed (status November 2015).

 $(a = 2.52 \pm 0.08 \text{ AU})$ , eccentricities  $(e = 0.905 \pm 0.004)$  and inclinations ( $i = 6.2^{\circ} \pm 0.4^{\circ}$ ), and smaller perihelion distances  $(q = 0.241 \pm 0.009 \text{ AU})$  than the regular Southern Taurid orbit. The existence of this well-defined cluster of similar Taurid meteoroids very probably means that the enhanced activity in 1995 was caused by a new relatively compact subsystem of the Taurid complex close to the Southern Taurids. As mentioned in Jenniskens (2006), this observation identifies, for the first time, a meteor outburst associated with the Taurid shower, and by implication the Earth crossing a relatively young dust trail. The 1995 enhanced activity was also confirmed by visual observations (Dubietis & Arlt 2007), both in terms of increased overall activity and increased percentage of fireballs in the period from October 23 to November 15 (McBeath 1999). Similarly Johannink & Miskotte (2006), also from visual observations, confirmed increased Taurid activity in 1998 and 2005 and suggested that the Southern Taurids are responsible for the higher activity in resonance years.

Shiba (2016) analyzed Taurid video observations from 2007 to 2015, including swarm encounter years 2008, 2012, and 2015 (see the webpage of D. Asher<sup>1</sup> for swarm encounter predictions). He confirmed that the enhanced activity is exclusively due to Southern Taurids. Shiba also studied the dependency of orbital elements on time and found that not only the mean orbital period of swarm meteoroids but also that of Northern Taurids correspond to the 7:2 resonance with Jupiter. The eccentricity was found to decrease and perihelion distance to increase with time.

The work of Shiba work is statistical in nature, involving thousands of meteors but with large individual uncertainties. Here we present precise data on 144 Taurid fireballs observed by new digital cameras of the EN in 2015. The description of the observational system, examples of the data, and demonstration of their precision are given in Sects. 2 and 3. In Sect. 4 we show that the enhanced activity in 2015 was caused by a well-defined

branch of Taurid meteoroids. We concentrate our study on orbital elements and only briefly discuss the physical properties of the meteoroids. In Sect. 5 we show that several known asteroids also belong to the branch, which caused the 2015 activity. The implications of our work are discussed in Sect. 6.

#### 2. Observational techniques and data acquisition

The data reported here were obtained by the EN. The core of the network, located in the Czech Republic, has been modernized several times (Spurný et al. 2007). But the last significant improvement has been realized during the last three years when a completely new instrument, the high-resolution digital autonomous fireball observatory (DAFO), was developed and gradually installed on the stations of the fireball network between November 2013 and September 2015. These new all-sky digital cameras are working alongside the older analog (using photographic films) autonomous all-sky cameras (AFO) on the majority of Czech stations but this older system based on AFOs is gradually being decommissioned. At the end of 2015 the DAFOs were installed on 13 stations around the Czech Republic (Šindelová and Kocelovice stations are completely new and were built in mid-2015). Apart from the Czech territory, two DAFOs were installed on the already working stations in Slovakia and Austria, respectively. The first DAFO was installed at the observatory of the Slovak Academy of Sciences in Tatranská Lomnica, where one AFO also remains in full operation, and the second, installed at the Waldviertel Observatory in Martinsberg (Austria), substituted the previous AFO system in September 2015. This core of the EN as schematically shown in Fig. 1 also cooperates with other parts and systems located in neighboring European countries but data used in this study are solely acquired by the stations based on the DAFO (vast majority of used records) and AFO cameras as described above.

http://star.arm.ac.uk/~dja/taurid/swarmyears.html

The imaging part of the DAFO system is comprised of a full frame Canon 6D digital camera and a Sigma fish-eye lens (8 mm f/3.5) equipped with an electronic LCD shutter for speed determination. In standard regime 16 interruptions and 35 s long exposure are used. To avoid possible loss of data during reading time of the CMOS sensor, we use two identical imaging sets, which work in alternation mode with 5 s overlap. The older AFOs analog imaging part is comprised of a Zeiss Distagon fisheye lens (30 mm f/3.5). Large format panchromatic sheet films  $(9 \times 12 \text{ cm}, \text{Ilford FP4})$  are used. The diameter of the sky on the image is 8 cm and usually one exposure is taken per night. Mechanical shutter with 15 interruptions per second is used. The sensitivity limit is -4 mag for AFO (about 2-3 mag lower around the full Moon period) and -2 mag for DAFO (with lower dependence on lunar phase). Apart from the imaging part, each DAFO and AFO is equipped with an all-sky radiometer with time resolution of 5000 samples per second and with similar sensitivity limit (in the moonless nights) like the imaging system but with much higher dynamic range. These radiometers serve several purposes, such as the real-time detection of fireballs, their exact absolute timing (system time is continuously corrected by the PPS pulse of the GPS), recording of detailed light curve profiles, and for precise photometry, especially for brighter events when digital images become saturated as shown in one example later

The data presented in this study were obtained almost completely by the new digital autonomous system (DAFO). Thanks to their higher sensitivity, fireball observations from DAFO contain more information especially in the beginning and terminal parts of the luminous trajectory in comparison with AFO. Another important advantage of DAFO is the ability to work during periods when it is not completely dark (twilight periods) and not completely clear (partly cloudy sky) as well. The data from the new digital system allow us to reliably determine all basic parameters of sufficiently bright fireballs up to the distance of 300 km from the stations (for special cases even up to 600 km). It means that with the current number and displacement of stations (see Fig. 1) we effectively cover territory of roughly 3 million square kilometers at least, i.e., a large part of Central Europe. All the advantages mentioned above significantly increased the efficiency of our observations; and in direct comparison with the efficiency of the previous analog AFO system the number of recorded fireballs increased at least three times. When we combine this increased efficiency with improved analysis techniques, which we developed and gradually improved especially in the last several years, we obtain results than were not reached by any previous observing system used within the EN.

## 3. Data reduction

As described above, our fully automated instruments DAFO and AFO provide us with two kinds of data: all-sky photographic records and high-resolution radiometric light curves. For the complete analysis of every fireball that was recorded from at least two stations (the vast majority of the presented fireballs were recorded from more than two stations) we use our own procedures, methods and analysis software. All-sky images taken in the raw format are measured by the FishScan application, which allows semiautomatic measurement of positions, speed, and photometry. Usually the photometry from digital images is reliable up to -8 apparent magnitude, brighter events start to be saturated after reaching this brightness. However, thanks to the high dynamic range of radiometers, which are incorporated in

each DAFO and AFO, we are able to obtain precise photometry also for much brighter fireballs, even for superbolides as will be shown later. We can calibrate radiometric records using not saturated parts of the light curve obtained from photographic records. Our whole procedure is demonstrated on the example below.

The Taurid fireball of November 5, 2015, 23:12:01 UT, was recorded photographically and photoelectrically at seven stations in our network. For a complete analysis of this fireball, we chose records taken from four stations that were close to its atmospheric trajectory and were sufficient for reliable determination of all parameters describing atmospheric trajectory, dynamics, photometry, and heliocentric orbit of this fireball. A selection of all-sky images of the fireball taken at individual stations are shown in Fig. 2.

The first step after measurement of all four digital images and their astrometric reduction is computation of the atmospheric luminous trajectory. We use two different methods described in Ceplecha (1987): the so-called plane method, and in Borovička (1990), the so-called least-squares method. A first independent check of the results is that the values describing the atmospheric trajectory obtained from these two methods turn out to be the same within the uncertainties. Lateral deviations of all measured points from the resulting atmospheric trajectory (zero line) are shown in Fig. 3. This plot illustrates the high reliability of the astrometric solution. The spread of the measured points from individual stations is random and the standard deviation is only 7 m. In this context it is also important to mention how far each station (camera) was from the fireball. Exact distances of the beginning and terminal points  $R_{(B \div E)}$  for each station were as follows:

 $R_{(B \div E)}$  (107) = 141.8 ÷ 92.7 km;  $R_{(B \div E)}$  (126) = 149.1 ÷ 119.5 km;  $R_{(B \div E)}$  (102) = 176.6 ÷ 143.3 km;  $R_{(B \div E)}$  (114) = 221.5 ÷ 189.8 km.

This example nicely illustrates that our records and methods provide us with a precision of the atmospheric trajectory determination of about 10 m for fireballs that are still about 200 km away the stations. Most of the Taurids in this study, especially the fainter ones, were below or around this distance, and only several of the brightest cases were at much larger distances from the stations. The most distant Taurid was the superbolide EN311015 180520, which was also recorded by the cameras at distances of up to 630 km (stations that were used for analysis). Data precision for such a distant and difficult to measure case is about 140 m, which is still good. The precision is crucial not only for the determination of the position of the trajectory in the atmosphere, but also for the determination of the direction of flight of the meteoroid, in other words, the position of the apparent radiant, which is important for determination of the heliocentric orbit of the meteoroid. The coordinates of the apparent radiant for this particular fireball were

 $\alpha_{\rm app} = 54.947^{\circ} \pm 0.007^{\circ}, \, \delta_{\rm app} = 16.196^{\circ} \pm 0.004^{\circ}.$ 

When computing the local azimuth and slope of the trajectory, we took into account the curvature of bolide trajectory due to gravity, which can be significant for longer fireballs with very precise data. For the EN051115\_231201 it is only 0.02°.

The second step is the determination of the velocity of the fireball. The data in this study are so good that it enabled us



Fig. 2. Detailed views of the EN051115\_231201 Taurid fireball recorded by DAFOs at the stations 126 Martinsberg, 102 Kunžak, 107 Kuchařovice, and 114 Červená hora. All-sky images from these stations were used for the analysis.



**Fig. 3.** Lateral deviations of all measured points on the fireball luminous path from the available records. The *Y*-axis scale is highly enlarged and one standard deviation for any point on the fireball trajectory is only 7 m.

to use the method described in Ceplecha et al. (1993) for the vast majority of fireballs. Successful application of this model is very sensitive to the quality of the lengths for each individual measured velocity point corresponding to a single shutter break. This rigorous physical model provides the speed at any point on the trajectory but for the presented study, which is focused on the orbital analysis, the initial velocity is the most important. For the sample fireball we obtained a four parameter (non-fragmenting) solution including initial velocity for each station and all solutions were very similar. Nevertheless for the

are correlated) and we applied the Ceplecha method on this unified data set. This approach significantly increases reliability of the resulted dynamic solution. It is useful especially for shorter fireballs such as Taurids because they are moderately fast meteoroids of cometary origin (i.e., relatively fragile) and the number of measured breaks on one image can be limited. Therefore, every independent measurement can be very useful in obtaining a reliable value of the initial velocity. Moreover, for some fireballs the non-fragmenting solution applied to the whole trajectory was not adequate and we had to omit the terminal part of the fireball to obtain a realistic value of the initial velocity. This was also the case of the sample Taurid fireball EN051115\_231201 as can be seen for example in Fig. 4 where several bright flares corresponding to fragmentation events are clearly visible. The resulting value of initial velocity of the EN051115\_231201 Taurid fireball is  $31.221 \pm 0.037$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. The next step in the analysis of the available records is the ex-

final dynamic solution and initial velocity determination, not

only for this particular case but for all cases in this study, we used a slightly different approach. We put all measured shutter

breaks from all used images together (timescales on all DAFOs

act photometry of the fireball. We have two different data types, those from photographic records and radiometers, from which we can determine the brightness of the fireball and its initial mass based on photometry. As mentioned above, we measure digital images in 14-bit raw format. We found that this limited dynamic range of the used CMOS sensor is sufficient for fireballs with apparent magnitude up to about -8. Above this limit the measured signal starts to be saturated. As shown in Table A.2, which contains basic physical data of the presented Taurids, this method



**Fig. 4.** Radiometric light curves of the EN051115\_231201 fireball taken by fast photometers (5000 samples/s) at Kunžak (blue) and Červená hora (red) stations. These apparent (not corrected for distance) light curves taken from places 185 km apart demonstrate perfect compliance of both records; small differences in heights of individual peaks are caused by different distances to the fireball.

can be reliably used for about 75% of all cases. The remaining 25% of presented cases are such bright fireballs that their digital images are partly or even almost completely saturated. For such fireballs we have different methods to describe their brightness. One solution to this problem is the use of simultaneous photographic images taken by the AFO on the film. The response of the film emulsion is logarithmic, which means that the photographic film has much higher dynamic range; we use Ilford FP4 panchromatic films with sensitivity 125 ASA. This is a quite straightforward method and we used it in few cases, but a still much more appropriate and accurate way is the use of the light curves taken by the radiometers, which are in our cameras and still have much higher dynamic range. The apparent (i.e., not corrected for distance) high-resolution (5000 samples/s) radiometric light curves of the EN051115\_231201 fireball taken by radiometers at Kunžak (blue) and Červená hora (red) stations are shown in Fig. 4. The close agreement between the different records, a testament to the high precision of the data, is evident. As for other two closer stations to the fireball, the radiometric light curves have exactly the same profile and could be used for fireball photometry. However, we cannot use radiometric light curves directly because individual radiometers have different sensitivity and are not calibrated to obtain absolute photometry. For the purpose of calibrating these records we combine photometry from both methods. We measure the meteor signal on the digital image and for calibration we use that part of the photographic image, which is not saturated and at the same time well above the noise of the measured signal from both the photographic records and corresponding radiometric records. This is usually somewhere in the interval between -4 and -7 mag. However, we have to relate the timescale of the photograph to the absolute timescale of the radiometer. For this purpose we use time marks (breaks of double length) made by the electronic shutter along the luminous path of the recorded fireball on the beginning of each second. This defines the exact absolute time of this measured point, which can be simply identified with the corresponding point on the radiometric light curve. Both radiometer and electronic shutter are continuously corrected by the PPS pulse of the GPS so the absolute timing of both records is given with high precision.

The result of this procedure is illustrated in Fig. 5 in which photographic and radiometric light curves from all used stations in absolute magnitudes are plotted. The first evident result is that,



**Fig. 5.** Photographic and radiometric light curves of the EN051115\_231201 fireball in absolute magnitudes.

especially for shorter and faster fireballs containing bright and short significant flares, the photographic photometry cannot correctly describe the shape of the light curve because of the low time resolution. The electronic shutter, on the other hand, has a resolution of 16 interruptions per second with the same length for the on/off state. This means that blind time lasts exactly 0.03125 s and it is sometimes longer than the duration of a flare or at least its brightest part. As can be seen in Figs. 4 and 5, this is exactly the case of fireball EN051115 231201, where most of the light is contained within five distinct and quite short flares that are only partly recorded (or even missing) on the image. Another aspect that is evident in Fig. 5 is the saturation of the photographic records. The absolute photographic photometry profile (brightest parts of the light curves) is, unlike the radiometric photometry, quite different for individual stations. However it confirms the saturation effect because stations, which are more distant from the fireball give higher absolute maximum brightness. It means that these records are not as saturated as the records from closer stations. The last aspect, which is worth mentioning in connection with the photometry shown in Fig. 5, is that for correct calibration of radiometric light curves it is much better to use the radiometric record and photographic image from the closest station where the signal-to-noise ratio is the most favorable. This is valid especially for the fireballs as in the case described here, when the increase of the brightness is very steep and the suitable (not saturated) interval of magnitudes is very short.

A general conclusion is that the high-resolution radiometric records are crucial for correct recovery of the photometry of all brighter fireballs, especially those that contain distinct flares. We note that the photometry based on radiometric light curves was determined for about 90% of analyzed Taurids in this study.

As explained above, apart from the precise photometry of the recorded fireballs, radiometric records provide us with a very accurate absolute time of each event. This important parameter is, along with the initial velocity and radiant position, necessary for reliable determination of the heliocentric orbit of the observed fireball. The orbits were computed by the method of Ceplecha (1987).

To compute the photometric mass of the meteoroid, the velocity dependence of the luminous efficiency was taken from ReVelle & Ceplecha (2001). The mass dependence was ignored by substituting 10 kg for the mass in their formula. Specifically, the luminous efficiency for velocities above 25.4 km s<sup>-1</sup> was assumed to be directly proportional to the velocity, reaching 6.5%



Fig. 6. Exposure coverage representing observing conditions in Central Europe during activity of Taurids in 2015. It is the fraction of real time when all cameras in the network exposed to their total prescribed exposure time. The date corresponds to the evening date of the whole night.



**Fig. 7.** Activity of Taurids recorded by the DAFO cameras of the European Fireball Network in 2015. The number of presented Taurid fireballs in the plot is 143 (total number is 144); S TAU from 28.11.2015 is out of range of the date axis. Date corresponds to the evening date of the whole night.

at 30 km s<sup>-1</sup>. A factor of 1500 W for zero magnitude meteor (Ceplecha et al. 1998) was used to convert magnitudes into bolometrically radiated energy.

The above-mentioned example clearly demonstrates high precision and reliability of all parameters describing the atmospheric trajectory, dynamics, photometry, and heliocentric orbit not only for this particular case, but also for all Taurid fireballs presented in Tables A.1 and A.2. For this complex analysis of all presented Taurid fireballs, i.e., the astrometric reduction of the images, atmospheric trajectory computation, dynamic and photometric solutions, and finally orbital calculations, we used our new software package BOLTRACK (J. Borovička).

Although the autumn weather, especially in November, is notoriously cloudy in Central Europe, the year 2015 was not so bad. There were several clear nights, especially in the beginning of November, and only a few nights were completely cloudy practically at all stations. This situation is illustrated in Fig. 6 in which the ratio of real to prescribed exposure time for all stations in the network altogether and for each individual night covering the Taurids activity in 2015 is shown. Since the DAFOs also work when the sky is only partly clear, some fireballs were captured even in the nights when it was mostly cloudy and could be still used for this study. On the other hand, some fireballs were recorded only from one station or their records were of a quality that is insufficient to merit scientific analysis. Such cases were excluded from our study. As a result of relatively favorable weather conditions and the capability of our network, we were able to cover the whole period of the enhanced Taurid activity from the last decade of October to mid-November as shown in Fig. 7. It is difficult to construct the activity profile for such a long interval from our data because it is difficult to take into

account all observational effects and correctly eliminate them. So Fig. 7 does not represent the real activity profile, but only the uncorrected distribution of selected Taurid fireballs during the whole interval of activity. As described in the following sections, we identified three different groups of Taurids in our data set. These are the regular Southern and Northern Taurids (designated as S TAU and N TAU, respectively) and a new branch of Southern Taurids, which we designate S TAU (SB). As shown later, this new branch was responsible for the enhanced activity. From Fig. 7 we can see that enhanced Taurid activity caused by this new branch of Southern Taurids fireballs started on October 24, culminated around November 5 and terminated on the night of November 16/17. The S TAU (SB) was not observed after this date even though on November 18 and 21-23 were at least partly clear nights with good observing conditions. From Fig. 7 we also see that the enhanced activity increased gradually with several days of very high activity at the turn of October and November. This interval was strongly affected by the full Moon period, so fainter fireballs were below the sensitivity limit of the digital all-sky cameras and radiometers especially in the end of October. Therefore the number of fireballs on these nights may be underestimated. On the other hand, the relatively steep decrease of activity after November 5 seems to be real. With regard to regular S and N Taurids, they are quite uniformly spread over the entire interval of observed activity.

## 4. The 2015 Taurid data

The total number of Taurid fireballs recorded photographically by our instruments at least from two stations in 2015 was about 200. This is much more than we recorded in any previous year.



Fig. 8. Detailed view of the two brightest Taurids far over Poland recorded by the AFO (analog camera) at station Polom.

The main reason for this is evidently the unusually high Taurid activity, but it is also caused by much more efficient observational system and also by a quite long period of relatively good weather. For this study we selected 144 Taurids with complete information about heliocentric orbits of individual meteoroids and their physical properties as well. Our data set is unique not because of the total number of used meteors but the high precision of the data for each individual case, which was obtained by with high-resolution cameras and radiometers and elaborated reduction methods. Meteor records were reduced one-by-one and all steps in the measurement and computation process were under careful human supervision.

Before going to the statistical analysis of the whole data set, we describe in more detail some remarkable fireballs.

#### 4.1. Exceptional cases

### 4.1.1. EN311015\_180520 and EN311015\_231301 - two brightest Taurids

It is a well-known fact that Taurids are quite rich in bright fireballs. However, 2015 was also in this aspect exceptional and our cameras recorded several very bright Taurids during the whole period of activity. Altogether 24 Taurids were brighter than -10 absolute magnitude and 10 were similarly bright or even brighter than the full Moon. Moreover, two of those, both observed in the first half of the night of October 31, are really remarkable not only in this data set, but in all Taurids that we have recorded within the EN until now. Both are shown in Fig. 8, where a small part of the all-sky image is shown. This image was taken from station Polom by the AFO, i.e., on sheet film, where one exposure was taken per night. Both bolides were observed in a similar (northern) direction and flew over northern and central Poland, respectively. The brighter bolide, which reached a peak absolute magnitude of -18.6 (on the left), occurred at 18:05:20 UT and the second, with peak magnitude of -15.8, occurred 5 h7 min and 41 s later at 23:13:01 UT. This is the reason why their directions of flight differ, although both bolides had practically the same radiant. The first was so bright that it belonged to the superbolide category. This spectacular Taurid bolide was caused by a meteoroid with initial mass more than 1000 kg, i.e., a metersized object. Because of its enormous brightness, clear skies over large parts of Central Europe, and convenient time of its occurrence (it was an unusually nice Saturday evening), thousands of eyewitnesses were fascinated by this extraordinary natural event. We obtained more reports of one bolide than ever before. Apart from plenty of visual observations, all DAFOs

and AFOs in our network (at 15 stations) recorded it, which was crucial for reliable description of this superbolide. In addition to our own photographic and radiometric records, we used also two casual images. The first one is a high-resolution digital image from Studénka, Czech Republic, which was obtained from amateur astronomer B. Pelc. The second digital image was taken by G. Zieleniecki at Czernice Borowe, Poland, and was freely available on the internet. Altogether we used 13 most suitable photographic and 5 radiometric records. The situation with the second, much smaller, meteoroid was similar. It was also recorded by all our cameras at all 15 stations, and we obtained also 4 high-resolution casual digital images from northern region of the Czech Republic. These images, which we also partially used, were taken by T. Chlíbec at Klínovec, L. Sklenár from Kunčice and Labem, D. Ščerba from Dolní Údolí, and L. Shrbený from Říčany; this record also includes a spectrum of the bolide. In this case we used the best 12 photographic and 4 radiometric records for final analysis.

Since these fireballs were exceptional, we modeled them with our semiempirical fragmentation model (Borovička et al. 2013). The model fits radiometric curves and deceleration. This way we obtained more reliable initial masses of meteoroids (1300 kg and 34 kg, respectively) and insight into their atmospheric fragmentation. Both meteoroids were effectively destroyed high in the atmosphere under dynamic pressures <0.05 MPa. In both cases a small fragment (<1 kg) survived the initial destruction and fragmented further under pressures of ~0.1 MPa. In comparison with other bright bolides (Borovička et al. 2017), both Taurids were extremely fragile.

Simultaneously with our network, both bolides were also recorded by the cameras of the Polish Fireball Network. These data were analyzed independently and were published by Olech et al. (2016). Because our data differ from their data, we provide here our complete results and compare them to those reported in Olech et al. (2016). Atmospheric trajectories are given in Table 1, light curves in Fig. 9, and heliocentric orbits in Table 2. When computing the local azimuth and slope of the trajectory, we took into account both the curvature of the Earth and the curvature of the bolide trajectory due to gravity, which was significant for EN311015\_180520 (change of direction of flight by 0.17° over the recorded length). Azimuths are measured from the south clockwise. The apparent radiants given in Table 2 are valid for the average points on the trajectories.

As shown later in this paper and that of Olech et al. (2016) (in fact that paper is based only on these two bolides) data about these big bolides are of great importance. Since it may not be

#### A&A 605, A68 (2017)

	Beginning	Max.	Terminal	Beginning	Max.	Terminal
		bright.			bright.	
Height (km)	$114.724 \pm 0.025$	80.8	$57.644 \pm 0.030$	$120.026 \pm 0.030$	74.4	$57.305 \pm 0.016$
Velocity (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$33.07\pm0.03$	33.07	$22 \pm 2$	$32.56 \pm 0.09$	32.53	$30 \pm 2$
Longitude (° E)	$18.46416 \pm 0.00018$	16.927	$15.82901 \pm 0.00020$	$18.18064 \pm 0.00026$	18.101	$18.07087 \pm 0.00014$
Latitude (° N)	$53.60723 \pm 0.00050$	53.553	$53.50244 \pm 0.00048$	$52.13173 \pm 0.00060$	52.430	$52.54390 \pm 0.00033$
Slope (°)	$18.574 \pm 0.014$	17.71	$17.148 \pm 0.034$	$53.28 \pm 0.04$	53.00	$52.89 \pm 0.10$
Azimuth (°)	$267.240 \pm 0.013$	266.00	$265.120 \pm 0.015$	$350.78 \pm 0.04$	350.72	$350.69 \pm 0.05$
Time <sup>1</sup> (s)	-1.07	2.22	4.68	-0.07	1.68	2.36
Total length (km)		186.3			78.5	

Table 1. Atmospheric trajectory data for the EN311015\_180520 (left) and EN311015\_231301 (right) bolides.

Notes. <sup>(1)</sup> Time zero corresponds to 18:05:18 UT for EN311015\_180520 and 23:13:00 UT for EN311015\_231301.



**Fig. 9.** Calibrated radiometric light curves of bolides EN311015\_180520 and EN311015\_231301 (solid curves). For EN311015\_180520 data from two imaging cameras are also given (crosses). After bolide maximum, most of radiometric signal was produced by a stationary trail. Camera data contain only the bolide moving further down. Time zero corresponds to 18:05:18 UT for EN311015\_180520 and 23:13:00 UT for EN311015\_231301.

simple to distinguish which data set is correct, we carry out an analysis of the differences. The positions of the recorded beginning and end points of the bolide depend on the sensitivity of the instrument and the observing conditions. Nevertheless, when plotting the trajectory of the first bolide on the map, the solution of Olech et al. (2016) is shifted about 1.8 km to the north. As for the observed apparent radiant, there is a difference of 0.65° in declination, i.e., 10 times their quoted uncertainty  $(\sigma)$ . For the second bolide, the larger difference is in right ascension (0.24°,  $4\sigma$ ) and especially in entry velocity, which is larger by 0.6 km s<sup>-1</sup> (6 $\sigma$ ) in Olech et al. (2016). Although our data were obtained from large distances, our results are based on large number of records (in both cases more than 10) and the solutions for both bolides are very consistent. The photograph from Czernice Borowe, when combined with our cameras, provides convergence angles in excess of 60° for the first bolide. Czech cameras have mutual convergence angles up to 15°. For the second bolide the situation is even better, although the velocity was more difficult to measure. So there is no reason, why our

**Table 2.** Apparent and geocentric radiants and orbital elements (J2000.0) for the EN311015\_180520 (left) and EN311015\_231301 (right) meteoroids.

	EN311015_180520	EN311015_231301
Time (UT)	$18^{h}05^{m}20.0^{s} \pm 0.1^{s}$	$23^{h}13^{m}01.5^{s} \pm 0.1^{s}$
$\alpha_R$ (°)	$50.126 \pm 0.009$	$51.853 \pm 0.022$
$\delta_R$ (°)	$16.452 \pm 0.016$	$15.66 \pm 0.04$
$v_{\infty} (km \ s^{-1})$	$33.068 \pm 0.030$	$32.56 \pm 0.09$
$\alpha_G$ (°)	$51.692 \pm 0.010$	$51.445 \pm 0.022$
$\delta_{G}\left(^{\circ} ight)$	$14.592 \pm 0.017$	$14.49 \pm 0.04$
$v_G (km s^{-1})$	$30.869 \pm 0.032$	$30.59 \pm 0.10$
$v_H (km s^{-1})$	$37.32 \pm 0.02$	$37.34 \pm 0.06$
<i>a</i> (AU)	$2.250 \pm 0.009$	$2.258 \pm 0.027$
е	$0.8724 \pm 0.0006$	$0.8689 \pm 0.0020$
<i>q</i> (AU)	$0.28715 \pm 0.00032$	$0.2960 \pm 0.0010$
$Q(\mathrm{AU})$	$4.212 \pm 0.018$	$4.22 \pm 0.05$
ω (°)	$121.687 \pm 0.022$	$120.62 \pm 0.06$
$\Omega\left(^{\circ} ight)$	37.791	38.005
<i>i</i> (°)	$5.707 \pm 0.023$	$5.62\pm0.06$
<i>P</i> (yr)	$3.375 \pm 0.020$	$3.39\pm0.06$
Perihelion	2012-07-26 ± 7 d	2012-07-20 ± 22 d
TP <sub>Jup</sub>	$2.952 \pm 0.009$	$2.953 \pm 0.028$

Notes. Time is given for the average point of the recorded trajectory.

results should be different by more than the standard deviations given in Table 1.

There is also at least a 3-5 s difference in the reported time of appearance of the first fireball. Our radiometers are continuously corrected by PPS pulse of GPS and their timing precision is in millisecond range. A nice example how our radiometers are synchronized is shown in Fig. 4. Another discrepancy is in the determination of the maximum absolute brightness for both bolides. While Olech et al. (2016) determined the maximum absolute brightness  $-16.0 \pm 0.4$  mag for the first bolide, we found that it reached  $-18.6 \pm 0.2$  mag. Our method described in Sect. 3 relies on the linearity of radiometers even for strong signals. Results from five independent radiometers were in perfect

P. Spurný et al.: Discovery of a new branch of the Taurid meteoroid stream



Fig. 10. Detailed view on the very long Taurid fireball recorded by the DAFO (digital camera) at station Kunžak.

agreement. Similarly the brightness of the second bolide was underestimated by Olech et al. (2016) by about 1 mag.

Regardless of these differences in the directly determined trajectory parameters, we found another discrepancy in the calculation of orbital elements in Tables 3-5 of Olech et al. (2016). We obtained significantly different results than those published in Tables 5 and 6 of Olech et al. (2016) when we took their input values of initial velocity, apparent radiant position (which we recalculated from J2000.0 to the date that the bolides occurred) time, and mean position for both bolides from their Tables 3 and 4 and used our program for orbital calculation; orbits from this program were independently validated for example in Clark & Wiegert (2011). We found the following differences for the EN311015 180520 bolide (computed minus published):  $\Delta a = 0.0077 \text{ AU}, \Delta e = 0.0057, \Delta \omega = 1.3^{\circ} (!), \Delta i = 0.07^{\circ}, \text{ and}$  $\Delta P = 0.019$  yr. For the EN311015\_231301 bolide, differences are  $\Delta a = 0.1287 \text{ AU}$  (!),  $\Delta e = 0.0087$ ,  $\Delta \omega = 0.1^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta i = 0.035^{\circ}$ , and  $\Delta P = 0.20$  yr. Some of these differences are really high, namely 1.3°, in argument of perihelion for the first bolide and especially 0.128 AU in semimajor axis for the second bolide. With the radiant and velocity given by Olech et al. (2016) this bolide would be far from the 7:2 resonance with Jupiter, nevertheless, their published orbit puts it in the resonance.

#### 4.1.2. EN061115\_164758: an almost horizontal Taurid

On November 6, 2015 during dawn, just after the Taurid radiant rose above the horizon, a relatively faint Taurid fireball of -5.1 maximum absolute magnitude traveled over a large part of sky and was observed by several stations in the SW part of our network. The sky was not completely dark, especially from the stations in western part of the network, which were closest to the fireball trajectory. However, thanks to the higher sensitivity of the digital cameras, this extremely long fireball was nicely recorded on three stations, Kunžak, Martinsberg and Kuchařovice, which enabled us to describe this exceptional Taurid accurately. Owing to its small slope which was 7.7° at the beginning and during the flight decreased to only 5.6°, the recorded fireball trajectory was extremely long, i.e., exactly 258.7 km, and its flight lasted 8.5 s. It is the longest Taurid fireball we have ever recorded, both in duration and length. Thanks to a large amount of data points, this Taurid has the best dynamic data and the trajectory, i.e., also radiant, is also very precise. The initial velocity of 31.285  $\rm km\,s^{-1}$  was determined with a precision of  $\pm 7$  m s<sup>-1</sup>. As for the brightest Taurid described in Sect. 4.1.1, we took into account the curvature of the trajectory of the bolide due to gravity, which was significant for such a long and low inclined fireball (change of direction of flight by 0.18° over the recorded length). A detailed view of its luminous flight taken by the DAFO at Kunžak station is shown in Fig. 10. Additional information about this fireball is given in Tables A.1 and A.2.

#### 4.2. Radiants and orbits

In this section the radiants, velocities, and heliocentric orbits of all 144 fireballs are evaluated. All elements in this paper are given for equinox J2000.0. The data are presented in Table A.1. Figure 11 shows the dependency of geocentric radiant and velocity on solar longitude (i.e., the longitude of the Sun at the time of fireball observation). Thirteen fireballs were classified as Northern Taurids. They can be easily recognized by their radiant lying to the north of the ecliptic. All other fireballs belong to Southern Taurids. Among them, a well-defined structure can be recognized, where the radiant position and velocity are strict linear functions of solar longitude. We call this structure a new branch. Evidently, this branch was responsible for the enhanced Taurid activity in 2015.

Regular Taurids also exhibit radiant motion but the spread of individual radiants is much larger than for the new branch. For the new branch, we found the following relationships:

$$\alpha_{\rm g} = 46.99^{\circ} + 0.554 \cdot (\lambda_{\odot} - 210^{\circ}) \tag{1}$$

$$\delta_{\rm g} = 14.00^{\circ} + 0.060 \cdot (\lambda_{\odot} - 210^{\circ}) \tag{2}$$

$$v_{\rm g} = 32.90 - 0.293 \cdot (\lambda_{\odot} - 210^{\circ}), \tag{3}$$

where  $\alpha_g$  and  $\delta_g$  are the right ascension and declination, respectively, of the geocentric radiant (J2000.0),  $v_g$  is the geocentric velocity in km s<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\lambda_{\odot}$  is solar longitude (J2000.0). Although we defined the new branch on the basis of orbital elements rather than radiants and velocities (see below), all fireballs of the new branch had the radiant right ascension within 1.3° and declination within 0.7° from (1) and (2). The velocities were within 0.9 km s<sup>-1</sup> from relationship (3). We point out that this spread is real. The precision of most of our data, as demonstrated in Sect. 3, is <0.05° in the radiant position and 0.1 km s<sup>-1</sup> in the velocity.

Fireballs from the branch were observed between solar longitudes  $211^{\circ}$ - $234^{\circ}$  (October 25–November 17). Taurids



**Fig. 11.** Position of geocentric radiant and geocentric velocity as a function of solar longitude for Taurids observed in 2015. Northern and Southern Taurids are shown by different symbols and the Southern Taurids belonging to the new branch are highlighted in light blue. The error of the data is smaller than the size of the symbols in most cases.

observed before and after these dates belong to the background population of Northern and Southern Taurid streams.

Longitude of perihelion, inclination, eccentricity, and perihelion distance as a function of solar longitude are plotted in Fig. 12. Most notably, there is a concentration of orbits with longitude of perihelion,  $\pi$  ( $\pi = \Omega + \omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is longitude of ascending node and  $\omega$  is argument of perihelion), at 158° ± 2° (Fig. 12a). There is only a weak correlation with solar longitude. Similarly, there is a concentration of orbits with inclinations of  $5.5^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$  (Fig. 12b). Regular Taurids show much larger spread, 145–175° in  $\pi$  and 2–7° in inclination.

Eccentricities and perihelion distances of the members of the new branch are steep functions of solar longitude (Figs. 12c, d), i.e.,

$$e = 0.901 - 0.00403 \cdot (\lambda_{\odot} - 210^{\circ}) \tag{4}$$

$$q = 0.224 + 0.0092 \cdot (\lambda_{\odot} - 210^{\circ}).$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

All eccentricities lie within 0.012 from (4) and perihelia lie within 0.027 AU from (5). Again, regular Taurids show much larger scatter.

The new branch is best recognized in the plot of longitude of perihelion,  $\pi$ , versus latitude of perihelion,  $\beta$  (sin $\beta$  = sin  $\omega$  sin *i*, where *i* is inclination), presented in Fig. 13. We can state that the new branch has  $\pi$  between 155.9–160° and  $\beta$  between 4.2–5.7°. For regular Southern Taurids the observed spread in  $\beta$  is 2.5–6.5°. Northern Taurids have negative  $\beta$ .

Semimajor axes are plotted in Fig. 14. For regular Taurids, they lie between 1.9 and 2.4 AU. According to the model of Asher & Clube (1993), the enhanced activity is caused by meteoroids trapped in the 7:2 resonance with Jupiter. The resonance is located at 2.256 AU and extends from about 2.231 AU to 2.281 AU (Asher & Clube 1993). With two exceptions, the semimajor axes of all meteoroids with longitudes and latitudes of perihelia within the above-defined limits fall in the 7:2 resonance. Only two meteoroids had significantly lower semimajor axes, 2.15–2.16 AU. We consider them to be interlopers from the background population of Southern Taurids, although the 15:4 resonance located at 2.155 AU might be at work here.

On the contrary, some Southern Taurids with perihelia outside the new branch limits were also in the 7:2 resonance. As seen in Fig. 13, all of the Souther Taurids had an orientation of perihelia relatively close to the new branch. Nevertheless, some Northern Taurids were in the 7:2 resonance as well and they were far from the new branch.

There is no correlation between semimajor axis and solar longitude. The Tisserand parameter with respect to Jupiter increases with solar longitude from 2.9 to 3.1 within the new branch. This is due to the decreasing eccentricity. The often cited boundary at  $T_{Jup} = 3$  or 3.05 (e.g., Tancredi 2014) has no significance in this case.

According to the above definitions based on perihelion orientation and semimajor axis, there are 13 Northern Taurids in our data set, 18 regular Southern Taurids, and 113 members of the new branch.

It is evident that the new branch represents an orbital structure that is much more compact than regular Taurids. Since the activity of the new branch lasted almost one month, it cannot, however, be a narrow filament. In order to visualize the new branch, we plotted selected orbits covering the whole activity period in Fig. 15. Unlike usual meteoroid streams, where the orbits near perihelion largely overlap, here we see a concentric ring of orbits near perihelion, which is more than 0.2 AU wide. As the Earth moves around the Sun, it encounters first the orbits with smaller perihelia and larger eccentricities. With increasing solar longitude, orbits with progressively larger perihelia and smaller eccentricities are encountered. Since all of the semimajor axes are similar, eccentric orbits have larger aphelia than less eccentric orbits and the orbits therefore intersect at about 3.6 AU.



Fig. 12. Selected orbital elements as a function of solar longitude for Taurids observed in 2015. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 11. Errors are in most cases smaller than symbol sizes and for clarity are plotted only for regular Taurids.

#### 4.3. Physical properties

The Taurids in our sample reached maximum absolute magnitude between -2 and -18.6. The photometric masses range from 0.1 g to 1300 kg, i.e., there is a range of 7 orders of magnitude in mass. The mass distribution is given in Fig. 16, which shows that the new branch has a higher proportion of massive meteoroids. The data in Fig. 16 are biased because brighter meteors could be observed over large distances and under worse conditions than faint meteors, nevertheless, the bias is the same for all branches.

The beginning, maximum brightness, and end heights of all studied fireballs are plotted as a function of photometric mass in Fig. 17. These heights are good proxies to meteoroid structure, although they depend to some extent on observational circumstances (e.g., range to the fireball) and on the slope of the trajectory. Beginning heights show no dependence on mass and are generally between 90 and 110 km. For consistency we use only data from digital all-sky cameras in the plot. The two brightest fireballs were captured by the narrow-field cameras at higher altitudes (see Table 1). On the other hand, both these fireballs were located far from the all-sky cameras; the beginning of EN 311015\_180520 was 390 km from the closest camera and the beginning of EN 311015\_231301 was 270 km distant. If observed from closer distances, the beginnings would lie somewhat higher.

The maximum and end heights show large scatter. Many fireballs exhibited multiple flares of similar brightness. Nevertheless, there were differences in physical properties of the meteoroids. This fact is mostly evident from the end heights. There are differences of 25 km or more for meteoroids of similar masses. The expected trend of deeper penetration for larger bodies is only weakly present. The lowest end heights (below 50 km) were achieved by two quite small meteoroids. There are no obvious differences in physical properties between different branches of the stream.

Since the end height depends not only on the meteoroid properties but also on trajectory slope and entry speed, the PE



**Fig. 13.** Orientation of perihelia (latitude versus longitude) for Southern Taurids observed in 2015. This plot was used to define the limits of the new branch, as indicated by the ellipse. The fireballs that fell within these limits but had different semimajor axes (outside the 7:2 resonance) are plotted in purple. The fireballs outside these limits but within the resonance are plotted in dark blue.



**Fig. 14.** Semimajor axis as a function of solar longitude for Taurids observed in 2015. For symbol explanation see Figs. 11 and 13. Error bars are plotted for all fireballs. The extent of the 7:2 resonance with Jupiter according to Asher & Clube (1993) is indicated.

criterion (Ceplecha & McCrosky 1976), which compensates for these effects, can be used to better evaluate meteoroid strengths. According to the PE criterion, meteoroids are classified into four types: I, II, IIIA, and IIIB (Ceplecha 1988). Type I corresponds to stony meteorites and type IIIB to soft cometary material. Figure 18 shows Taurid PE classification as a function of mass. We can see that Taurids cover all four types, with a clear trend of larger meteoroids being more fragile. Most of meteoroids smaller than 30 g belong to type II. Some meteoroids



Fig. 15. Selected orbits of the Taurids from the new branch projected to the plane of ecliptic.



**Fig. 16.** Number of fireballs as a function of photometric mass for Northern Taurids, regular Southern Taurids, and the new branch.

with masses on the order of one gram clearly belong to type I. On the other hand, most meteoroids above 30 g belong to type IIIA or IIIB and only type IIIB is present above 300 g. The fact that the two largest meteoroids were very fragile was confirmed by fragmentation modeling (Sect. 4.1.1). Significant differences between small and large meteoroids suggest the existence of some hierarchical structure and will be subject of future studies.

Similar heterogeneity of Taurid physical properties was observed recently by Matlovič et al. (2017). Brown et al. (2013) reported a Taurid that penetrated down to 35 km and Madiedo et al. (2014) and another one reaching 42.5 km. These authors



**Fig. 17.** Fireball heights at beginning, end, and maximum light as a function of photometric mass. Northern Taurids are plotted as diamonds, regular Southern Taurids as circles, and new branch members as squares.



Fig. 18. Value of PE criterion (Ceplecha & McCrosky 1976) as a function of photometric mass for all observed Taurids. Northern Taurids are plotted as diamonds, regular Souther Taurids as circles, and new branch members as squares. The dashed horizontal lines define the types I, II, IIIA, and IIIB.

suggested that Taurids might drop meteorites. Our data do not seem to support this possibility, since at least a  $\sim$ 1 kg type I Taurid meteoroid would be needed to produce any meteorites. The SPMN 051010 fireball observed by Madiedo et al. (2014) on October 5, 2010 had a semimajor axis 3.0 AU and perihelion 0.47 AU. It may not be Taurid at all. The SOMN 101031 fireball observed by Brown et al. (2013), with a semimajor axis 2.9 AU, was also not a typical Taurid.

All atmospheric and physical data are given in Table A.2.

0.96 N Tau S Tau S Tau branch asteroids 0.92 Eccentricity 88.0 Eccentricity 88.0 Eccentricity 5 TX24 **TF50** 0.8 0.76 200 210 220 230 240 250 190 Solar longitude (deg)

**Fig. 19.** Orbital eccentricity as a function for solar longitude at the closest approach to the Earth's orbit for 2015 Taurid fireballs and asteroids from JPL database. Asteroids, which are likely related to the new Taurid branch are highlighted in magenta. Asteroids for which the relation to the new branch was considered but not confirmed are shown as filled rectangles. They may be related to other parts of the Taurid complex.

## 5. Related asteroids

We performed a search for asteroids with orbits similar to the new Taurid branch responsible for the enhanced activity in 2015. For that purpose, asteroids with q < 0.6 AU, 1.8 AU < a < 2.8 AU, and  $i < 12^{\circ}$  were selected from the JPL Small-Body Database<sup>2</sup>. There are 329 such asteroids known. We then plotted selected orbital elements as a function of solar longitude at Earth Minimum Orbit Intersection Distance (MOID) to be compared with the observed fireballs. For fireballs we used solar longitude at the time of impact as the independent variable. Since the asteroids did not impact Earth and their orbits do not intersect Earth's orbit, we used for comparison the solar longitude, as seen from the asteroid at the time when the asteroid is closest to the Earth's orbit.

Figure 19 shows the comparison plot for eccentricity. We see that there is nearly random distribution of asteroids with eccentricities smaller than 0.84 in the solar longitudes of interest. At higher eccentricities (0.86–0.88), however, there is a noticeable concentration of four asteroids (2005 UR, 2015 TX24, 2005 TF50, and 2004 TG10) near solar longitude of 220°. This concentration overlaps with the new Taurid branch. Moreover, it follows the same trend of decreasing eccentricity with increasing solar longitude.

Other orbital elements are compared in Fig. 20. Perihelion distance is basically a mirror image of eccentricity. Semimajor axes of all four asteroids of interest fall within the Taurid branch range, i.e., also within the 7:2 resonance. As for inclination, only 2015 TX24 falls exactly within the Taurid branch range. The 2005 UR asteroid is somewhat off but only about a half degree from the edge of the Taurid branch. However, Taurid fireballs represent the part of the stream, which intersects Earth's orbit. The whole stream is probably somewhat wider, so we consider

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/sbdb\_query.cgi, accessed January 25, 2017.



Fig. 20. Semimajor axis, inclination, perihelion distance, and longitude of perihelion as a function for solar longitude at the closest approach to the Earth's orbit for 2015 Taurid fireballs and asteroids from JPL database. Asteroids, which are likely related to the new Taurid branch are highlighted. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 19. The inclinations of Northern Taurids and asteroids with  $\Omega > 180^\circ$ , which encounter the Earth near their descending node in October/November, are plotted as negative.

it likely that 2005 UR is also part of the stream. The 2005 TF50 asteroid matches all other elements very well but has an inclination of 10.7°, i.e., more than 4 degrees from the edge of the Taurid branch. On the other hand, the orientation of perihelion is not so far from the new Taurid branch (Fig. 22). But the orbit of 2004 TG10 is oriented in the opposite way relative to the ecliptic. This asteroid may be in fact related to Northern Taurids. At least two asteroids, 2015 TX24 and 2005 UR, are therefore good candidates for direct membership in the new branch of Southern Taurids.

Asteroid 2015 TX24 was discovered by Pan-STARRS 1 on October 8, 2015 and was observed for 18 days in October 2015. It passed closest to the Earth's orbit on October 28, 2015, i.e., during the enhanced Taurid activity. The MOID of the Earth is 0.010 AU. The asteroid has an absolute magnitude of H = 21.5, which corresponds to diameter 200–300 m, assuming albedo in the range 0.10–0.05.

Asteroid 2005 UR was discovered by the Catalina Sky Survey on October 23, 2005 and was observed for six days in October 2005. The MOID of the Earth is 0.034 AU. The absolute magnitude is H = 21.6, i.e., very similar to that of 2015 TX24. Asteroid 2005 UR approached the Earth's orbit at the end of December 2015 and was therefore only  $17^{\circ}$  in mean anomaly behind the Taurids observed in 2015. Moreover, as noted by Olech et al. (2016), Taurid activity was also enhanced when the asteroid passed close to the Earth in October 2005.

The orbits of both 2005 UR and 2015 TX24 are plotted in Fig. 21 together with the fireball orbits. There is a good overlap.



Fig. 21. Orbits of 2005 UR and 2015 TX24 in comparison with all Taurids orbits from the new branch (gray).

Orbital elements of all four asteroids discussed here are given in Table 3. Asteroid 2004 TG10 is a large object with H = 19.4. The albedo is very low and the diameter was estimated to be  $1.40 \pm 0.51$  km (Nugent et al. 2015). The possible relation of this asteroid to the Taurids was suggested already by Jenniskens (2006), Porubčan et al. (2006), and Babadzhanov et al. (2008). Asteroid 2005 TF50, with H = 20.3, is of intermediate size. Its relation to comet 2P/Encke and the Taurids was proposed by Porubčan et al. (2006) and Olech et al. (2016).

## 6. Discussion

We presented probably the most precise Taurid orbits obtained to date. Thanks to the sufficient precision of semimajor axes, the theory of Asher & Clube (1993) and Asher & Izumi (1998) that the meteoroids responsible for enhanced Taurid activity are in 7:2 resonance with Jupiter could be confirmed (at least for 2015 meteors). This fact cannot be revealed from lower precision data such as those of Matlovič et al.  $(2017)^3$ . Moreover, we found that the Taurid branch, which is responsible for the enhanced activity in 2015, forms an interesting orbital structure. Although the enhanced activity lasted for 23 days according to our data, all orbits had very similar orientation of the line of apsides, i.e., the longitude and latitude of perihelion. Since semimajor axes were in a narrow range and all observed meteoroids had to intersect Earth's orbit, only one free parameter remains. That is why there is a good correlation between the longitude of the ascending node (or, equivalently, solar longitude at the date of observation), eccentricity, and perihelion distance.

There was, nevertheless, some spread of orbital elements within the new branch. The longitudes of perihelia were within the range  $155.9-160^{\circ}$  and latitudes of perihelia within the range  $4.2-5.7^{\circ}$ . The semimajor axes were within the resonance limits, 2.23-2.28 AU. The additional condition for the new branch membership follows from the limited period of activity and can



Fig. 22. Comparison of perihelia orientation of 2015 Taurids with asteroids and comets from the JPL database.

be expressed, for example, in terms of eccentricity lying between 0.80–0.90. Three asteroids, 2015 TX24, 2005 UR, and 2005 TF50, fully or nearly satisfy all these conditions. Figure 22 shows three other asteroids (2003 WP21, 2007 UL12, and 2015 LM21) with perihelia orientation not far from the new branch, but none of them simultaneously fulfills both the semimajor axis and eccentricity criteria.

Since the Earth does not encounter the new branch every year, it is evident that meteoroids of the new branch are not spread along the whole orbit. The model and observations of Asher & Clube (1993) and Asher & Izumi (1998) suggest that the enhanced activity of Taurids is caused by a resonant swarm of meteoroids, which extends  $\pm 30-40^{\circ}$  from the center of the swarm in mean anomaly. It does not, however, necessarily mean that the new branch observed in 2015 is identical to or representative of the whole swarm. The orbits of meteoroids observed by the EN during the enhanced activity in 1995 had somewhat different characteristics than in 2015; these had larger semimajor axes and smaller perihelia, which did not change so much with solar longitude.

The new branch contains quite large bodies. Our brightest fireball was caused by a body in excess of 1000 kg, which corresponds to diameter more than one meter, assuming that bulk density was not higher than 2000 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. This body was disintegrated very high in the atmosphere and likely had high porosity and low bulk density. The NASA JPL fireball page<sup>4</sup> lists a fireball with 10 times higher radiated energy, which occurred on the same day (October 31, 2015 11:34:30 UT) above the Pacific Ocean at a quite large height of 71 km. Considering the unusual height, it is likely that that fireball belonged to the Taurid new branch as well. The size of that body was 2–3 m or more. Two similar, slightly smaller, events occurred on November 2, 2005 (05:16:47 and 07:04:32), also over Pacific Ocean. The heights of these bolides were 74 and 68.5 km, respectively. These three fireballs are among the top five events with largest heights among

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We observed 10 fireballs from their sample and their semimajor axes are often off with respect to ours by several tenths of AU.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov/fireballs/, accessed February 3, 2017.

Asteroid	$\lambda_{\odot}$	а	е	q	i	ω	Ω	π	β
2005 UR	216.44	2.254	0.882	0.266	6.94	140.40	20.03	160.43	4.42
2015 TX24	218.81	2.269	0.872	0.290	6.05	126.80	32.99	159.79	4.84
2005 TF50	219.60	2.272	0.869	0.298	10.70	159.67	0.66	160.33	3.70
2004 TG10	223.32	2.234	0.862	0.308	4.18	317.11	205.13	162.23	-2.84

Table 3. Orbital elements of asteroids discussed here as taken from the JPL database and converted to J2000.0 equinox.

the 288 fireballs with known heights listed at the NASA JPL page. Their trajectories and velocities are not given but the Taurid radiant was above the horizon in all cases. We note that 2005 was also a year of enhanced Taurid activity.

Fireball data therefore prove the presence of meter-sized bodies among the Taurid new branch. Based on orbital similarity, we argue that asteroids of several hundred meters in diameter are members of the Taurid new branch as well. This is almost certain for 2015 TX24, very likely for 2005 UR, and possible for 2005 TF50. We are not speaking about a distant relationship. The discovered Taurid branch is simply a population of bodies with the size range from several millimeters to several hundred of meters, which all move together around the Sun. Every few years, the Earth is encountering this branch for a period of about three weeks. During that time, the chance of impact of an asteroid of significant size (tens of meters) is significantly enhanced. Even if intrinsically weak, bodies of such size can penetrate deep in the atmosphere (Shuvalov & Artemieva 2002) and pose a hazard to the ground.

We will allow theoretical celestial mechanicians to explain the formation and evolution of the Taurid new branch and the Taurid complex as a whole. A structure similar to the new branch could be created by a disruption of a parent body at heliocentric distance of about 3.6 AU (where the orbits come close together) but ejection velocities up to  $1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and subsequent removal of all non-resonant orbits would be needed. Also, asteroids 2005 TF50, 2015 TX24, and 2005 UR can all be related to 2004 TG10 but located at a different phase along the secular cycle as computed for 2004 TG10 by Porubčan et al. (2006). Asteroid 2005 TF50 is about 2000 yr behind, 2005 UR is about 2300 yr behind, and 2015 TX24 is about 2400 yr behind 2004 TG10. The elements  $\omega$ ,  $\Omega$ , and *i* all agree well with this assumption. For 2005 TF50 and 2015 TX24 e and q are also in agreement. The new Taurid branch can be also part of this relation. In fact, the orbital elements of the theoretical Southern Taurid meteors derived from 2004 TG10, as computed by Babadzhanov et al. (2008), fall perfectly among the Taurid branch fireballs in Fig. 12. Only in  $\pi$  there is a difference of 2.5°. But only the central part of the new branch at  $\lambda_{\odot} \sim 220^{\circ}$  can be explained in this way.

## 7. Conclusions

We presented data of unprecedented precision for a large sample of 144 Taurid fireballs observed by the European fireball network in 2015. This data set contains precise and detailed data on the Taurids covering 7 orders in mass, i.e., from tenths of a gram to one-ton meteoroids. We have shown that the enhanced Taurid activity in 2015 was produced by a well-defined branch embedded within the much broader Southern Taurid stream. The new branch can be characterized by the longitudes of perihelia lying between 155.9–160°, latitudes of perihelia between 4.2–  $5.7^{\circ}$ , semimajor axes between 2.23–2.28 AU, and eccentricities between 0.80–0.90. These orbits form a concentric ring in the inner solar system with perihelia between 0.23–0.45 AU. The new branch lies within the semimajor axis range spanned by the 7:2 resonance, indicating strongly that the meteoroids responsible for the outbursts are within this resonance, as expected from the model of Asher & Clube (1993). The Earth was the encountering members of the new branch at their ascending nodes between October 25 and November 17. The orbital configuration of the branch cause meteoroids with progressively lower eccentricities, larger perihelion distances, and lower entry velocities to encounter the Earth during the activity period.

The explanation of the structure and evolution of the new branch and its relation to the whole Taurid complex must be left to future theoretical studies. Nevertheless, we confirm earlier observations that the Taurid stream contains large meteoroids. This is valid for the new branch in particular. The largest object we observed was at least one meter in diameter. A ten times more massive object observed on the same day over the Pacific Ocean probably belonged to this new branch as well. Moreover, the orbits of asteroids 2015 TX24 and 2005 UR, both of diameters of several hundreds of meters, place them within the new Taurid branch as well. It is therefore very likely that the branch also contains numerous objects of decameter size. Although our data show that large Taurids have porous and fragile structure, objects of tens or hundreds of meters in size pose a hazard to the ground even if they have low intrinsic strength. Theoretical and observational studies and searches for related asteroids belonging to this newly discovered and described branch of Southern Taurids are therefore highly recommended. A better understanding of this real source of potentially hazardous objects that are large enough to cause significant regional or even continental damage on the Earth is a task of capital importance.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Praemium Academiae of the Czech Academy of Sciences, grant 16-00761S from the Czech Science Foundation, and the Czech institutional project RVO:67985815. Operation of the Slovak station Stará Lesná was supported by the project ITMS No. 26220120029, based on the supporting operational Research and development program financed from the European Regional Development Fund. We thank especially J. Keclíková, but also L. Shrbený and H. Zichová for a careful measuring of all photographic images. We also thank B. Pelc, T. Chlíbec, L. Sklenár and D. Ščerba for their images of two brightest Taurids.

#### References

- Asher, D. J., & Clube, S. V. M. 1993, QJRAS, 34, 481
- Asher, D. J., & Izumi, K. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 23
- Asher, D. J., Clube, S. V. M., & Steel, D. I. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 93
- Babadzhanov, P. B. 2001, A&A, 373, 329
- Babadzhanov, P. B., Williams, I. P., & Kokhirova, G. I. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 1436
- Borovička, J. 1990, Bull. Astr. Inst. Czechosl., 41, 391
- Borovička, J., Tóth, J., Igaz, A., et al. 2013, Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 48, 1757 Borovička, J., Spurný, P., Grigore, V. I., & Svoreň, J., 2017, Planet. Space Sci.,
- 143, 147
- Brown, P., Marchenko, V., Moser, D. E., Weryk, R., & Cooke, W. 2013, Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 48, 270

P. Spurný et al.: Discovery of a new branch of the Taurid meteoroid stream

- Ceplecha, Z. 1987, Bull. Astr. Inst. Czechosl., 38, 222
- Ceplecha, Z. 1988, Bull. Astr. Inst. Czechosl., 39, 221
- Ceplecha, Z., & McCrosky, R. E. 1976, J. Geophys. Res., 81, 6257
- Ceplecha, Z., Spurný, P., Borovička, J., & Keclíková, J. 1993, A&A, 279, 615
- Ceplecha, Z., Borovička, J., Elford, W. G., et al. 1998, Space Sci. Rev., 84, 327
- Clark, D. L., & Wiegert, P. A. 2011, Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 46, 1217
- Clube, S. V. M., & Napier, W. M. 1984, MNRAS, 211, 953
- Dubietis, A., & Arlt, R. 2007, MNRAS, 376, 890
- Jenniskens, P. 2006, Meteor Showers and their Parent Comets (Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Johannink, C., & Miskotte, K. 2006, J. Int. Meteor. Organization, 34, 7
- Madiedo, J. M., Ortiz, J. L., Trigo-Rodríguez, J. M., et al. 2014, Icarus, 231, 356 Matlovič, P., Tóth, J., Rudawska, R., & Kornoš, L. 2017, Planet. Space Sci., 143, 104
- McBeath, A. 1999, J. Int. Meteor. Organization, 27, 53
- Napier, W. M. 2010, MNRAS, 405, 1901
- Nugent, C. R., Mainzer, A., Masiero, J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 814, 117

Olech, A., Źołądek, P., Wiśniewski, M., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 461, 674

- Popescu, M., Birlan, M., Nedelcu, D. A., Vaubaillon, J., & Cristescu, C. P. 2014, A&A, 572, A106
- Porubčan, V., Kornoš, L., & Williams, I. P. 2006, Contrib. Astron. Obs. Skalnaté Pleso, 36, 103
- ReVelle, D. O., & Ceplecha, Z. 2001, in Proc. Meteoroids 2001 Conference, Kiruna, Sweden. ed. B., Warmbein, ESA SP, 495, 507
- Shiba, Y. 2016, J. Int. Meteor. Organization, 44, 78
- Shuvalov, V. V., & Artemieva, N. A. 2002, Planet. Space Sci., 50, 181
- Spurný, P. 1996, High fireball activity of the new subsystem of the Taurid complex, ACM 1996, Versailles, poster presentation
- Spurný, P., Borovička, J., & Shrbený, L. 2007, in Near Earth Objects, our Celestial Neighbors: Opportunity and Risk, eds. G.B. Valsecchi, D. Vokrouhlický, & A. Milani (Cambridge University Press), Proc. IAU Symp., 236, 121
- Tancredi, G. 2014, Icarus, 233, 66
- Whipple, F. L. 1940, Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., 83, 711

# Appendix A: Additional tables

Table A.1. Radiant and orbital data for 2015 Taurid fireballs.

Code	Branch <sup>1</sup>	2	0	8	1)	a	0	0	(1)	i	π
EN231015 204348	S	$\frac{\pi_{\odot}}{209.908}$	$\frac{u_{g}}{49.00}$	$\frac{0_{g}}{15.31}$	$\frac{v_{g}}{35.04}$	$\frac{u}{2.387}$	0 9249	$\frac{9}{0.1792}$	$\frac{134.92}{134.92}$	<i>i</i> 5 39	$\frac{\pi}{164.84}$
LI(251015_20+5+0	5	207.700	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.012	0.0005	0.0003	0.03	0.04	0.03
EN231015_211327	Ν	209.928	42.26	20.04	30.16	1.999	0.8578	0.2842	303.17	5.02	153.09
			0.02	0.02	0.06	0.013	0.0012	0.0006	0.03	0.04	0.03
EN241015_004546	Ν	210.075	45.88	18.83	32.44	2.074	0.8913	0.2255	309.90	2.46	159.94
EN241015 185031	S	210 825	0.12	0.08	0.03	0.020	0.0006	0.0014	0.24	0.14	0.24
EIN241015_165051	3	210.823	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.0003	0.0002	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN251015_022301	SB	211.138	47.62	13.76	32.63	2.269	0.8955	0.2370	127.73	6.26	158.88
			0.01	0.04	0.05	0.013	0.0008	0.0005	0.04	0.06	0.04
EN251015_031725	SB	211.176	46.32	13.59	31.73	2.265	0.8847	0.2612	124.80	5.54	155.99
EN261015 212726	SD	212 022	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.013	0.0008	0.0006	0.06	0.03	0.00
EIN201015_215750	20	212.955	48.99	0.03	0.10	0.028	0.0921	0.0010	0.07	0.05	0.07
EN261015 224031	SB	212.977	48.51	13.96	31.98	2.263	0.8874	0.2549	125.55	5.99	158.54
—			0.03	0.03	0.08	0.022	0.0014	0.0008	0.06	0.05	0.06
EN271015_220749	SB	213.951	49.26	13.94	31.74	2.246	0.8838	0.2610	124.85	6.19	158.82
EN201015 011055	C	214 004	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.008	0.0005	0.0004	0.04	0.07	0.04
EN281015_011855	3	214.084	43.91	0.07	20.78	2.005	0.8057	0.3896	0.08	5.75 0.07	145.54
EN301015 222401	SB	216.958	51.08	14.52	30.96	2.235	0.8733	0.2832	122.22	5.65	159.19
			0.02	0.06	0.05	0.013	0.0009	0.0006	0.05	0.08	0.05
EN311015_002325	Ν	217.040	44.77	19.16	28.36	2.349	0.8436	0.3674	292.16	2.41	149.17
EN1211015 002000	CD	017 104	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.012	0.0010	0.0005	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN311015_023900	SB	217.134	51.15	14.34	30.90	2.246	0.8726	0.2861	121.83	5.89	158.98
EN311015 025717	SB	217 147	51 20	14 38	30.94	2.249	0.8732	0.2850	121.95	5 86	159 11
<u>Enternoite_020717</u>	55	21/.11/	0.52	0.04	0.03	0.089	0.0024	0.0061	1.03	0.24	1.03
EN311015_172431	SB	217.749	51.56	14.50	30.82	2.259	0.8719	0.2893	121.40	5.76	159.17
EN1211015 100520	CD	017 777	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.012	0.0008	0.0004	0.04	0.05	0.04
EN311015_180520	2B	217.777	51.69	14.59	30.87	2.250	0.8724	0.2872	121.69	5./1	159.48
EN311015 182902	SB	217.794	50.83	14.50	30.37	2.267	0.8665	0.3026	119.81	5.31	157.62
			0.02	0.03	0.07	0.021	0.0015	0.0007	0.03	0.03	0.03
EN311015_185530	SB	217.812	51.17	14.68	30.59	2.265	0.8693	0.2960	120.59	5.28	158.42
EN211015 102120	C	017 020	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.015	0.0011	0.0005	0.03	0.04	0.03
EN311015_192126	3	217.830	49.84	13.99	29.62	2.260	0.8563	0.3247	0.02	5.34	155.13
EN311015 200534	SB	217.861	51.36	14.57	30.59	2.249	0.8689	0.2949	120.78	5.51	158.65
			0.02	0.07	0.06	0.017	0.0012	0.0007	0.06	0.09	0.06
EN311015_202117	SB	217.872	50.82	14.66	30.23	2.238	0.8640	0.3044	119.71	5.06	157.60
EN211015 211004	C D	217.012	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.002	0.0001	0.0001	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN311015_211904	28	217.912	0.06	14.74 0.02	30.96 0.06	2.275	0.8743	0.2860	0.13	5.56 0.04	159.05
EN311015 230919	SB	217.988	51.80	14.69	30.79	2.245	0.8713	0.2889	121.49	5.58	159.50
			0.03	0.02	0.06	0.015	0.0011	0.0006	0.06	0.03	0.06
EN311015_231301	SB	217.991	51.44	14.49	30.59	2.258	0.8689	0.2960	120.62	5.62	158.62
EN011115 012625	CD	219 001	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.027	0.0020	0.0010	0.06	0.06	0.06
EIN011115_015025	20	218.091	0.01	0.03	0.00	2.279	0.8090	0.2971	0.03	0.03	0.03
EN011115 033911	Ν	218.176	50.88	20.51	31.26	2.291	0.8793	0.2765	302.85	2.69	160.99
			0.28	0.06	0.11	0.056	0.0022	0.0035	0.56	0.11	0.56
EN011115_174410	SB	218.763	51.87	14.84	30.40	2.256	0.8665	0.3013	120.00	5.22	158.77
ENI011115 100646	C	210 700	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.004	0.0003	0.0002	0.02	0.02	0.02
ENUITII5_183646	5	218.799	51.61 0.06	15.54	50.51 0.10	2.259	0.865/	0.3034	119.74	4.46	158.55
EN011115 191104	SB	218.823	51.60	14.15	29.98	2.231	0.8599	0.3127	118.77	5.86	157.61
	~~		0.01	0.01	0.03	0.007	0.0005	0.0003	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN011115_200918	SB	218.864	51.96	14.48	30.28	2.244	0.8643	0.3044	119.68	5.68	158.56
			0.03	0.06	0.04	0.013	0.0009	0.0006	0.07	0.08	0.07

**Notes.** The code of each fireball also contains the date (in ddmmyy format) and GMT time corresponding to beginning rounded to whole second (in hhmmss format).  $^{(1)}N$  – Northern (13), S – Southern (18), SB – Southern new branch (113)

Code	Branch <sup>1</sup>	$\lambda_{\odot}$	$\alpha_{\rm g}$	$\delta_{ m g}$	vg	а	е	<i>q</i>	ω	i	π
EN011115_223909	SB	218.968	52.28	14.64	30.47	2.253	0.8671	0.2994	120.23	5.64	159.21
EN011115 234207	SB	219.011	52.47	14.88	30.64	2.263	0.0008	0.0004	120.72	0.03 5.46	0.03 159.75
-	a D		0.03	0.03	0.16	0.043	0.0032	0.0016	0.07	0.05	0.07
EN021115_020950	SB	219.114	51.90	14.79	30.26	2.278	0.8652	0.3071	119.23	5.22	158.36
EN021115_021740	SB	219.119	51.96	14.57	30.19	2.262	0.8638	0.3081	119.18	5.51	158.31
-	a D	210 125	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.014	0.0011	0.0007	0.05	0.06	0.05
EN021115_022525	SB	219.125	52.64 0.03	14.55	30.60	2.259	0.8688	0.2964	120.56	5.93 0.05	159.70
EN021115_024553	Ν	219.139	47.24	20.59	27.81	2.121	0.8269	0.3671	293.11	3.20	152.22
EN021115 192450	CD	210 702	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.010	0.0009	0.0007	0.10	0.02	0.10
EIN021115_182450	28	219.792	0.39	0.19	29.61 0.04	2.262 0.064	0.8558	0.3262	0.77	0.28	0.77
EN021115_195540	SB	219.855	52.70	14.82	30.26	2.274	0.8649	0.3072	119.23	5.44	159.10
EN021115 201534	SB	210.868	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.005	0.0003	0.0003	0.04	0.04	0.04
LIN021115_201554	3D	219.000	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.027	0.0020	0.0010	0.06	0.04	0.06
EN021115_205431	Ν	219.895	52.44	20.31	30.88	2.248	0.8734	0.2845	302.04	1.84	161.90
FN021115 213614	SB	219 925	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.013 2 270	0.0009	0.0005	0.03	0.03	0.03
LI(021115_215014	50	217.725	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.021	0.0015	0.0008	0.05	0.06	0.05
EN021115_215818	SB	219.940	52.49	14.71	30.05	2.272	0.8620	0.3134	118.52	5.43	158.48
EN021115 220435	SB	210 044	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.014	0.0010	0.0006	0.06	0.09	0.06
LIN021115_220455	3D	217.744	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.013	0.0010	0.0005	0.03	0.02	0.03
EN021115_232112	SB	219.998	52.14	14.55	29.78	2.277	0.8587	0.3217	117.55	5.41	157.56
FN021115 234348	S	220.013	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.012 2.247	0.0009	0.0005	0.03	0.03	0.03
LIN021115_254546	5	220.013	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.029	0.0026	0.0013	0.09	0.07	0.09
EN021115_235259	SB	220.020	52.65	14.71	30.02	2.250	0.8610	0.3128	118.67	5.48	158.70
FN031115 002007	SB	220.038	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.008	0.0006	0.0003	0.02	$0.01 \\ 5.44$	0.02
LI(031113_002007	55	220.050	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.009	0.0007	0.0004	0.04	0.04	0.04
EN031115_011247	SB	220.075	53.06	14.70	30.22	2.249	0.8636	0.3068	119.37	5.70	159.46
EN031115 012404	SB	220.083	0.02 52.76	13.98	30.02	0.017 2.278	0.0013	0.0007	0.04	0.03 6.41	0.04
Litto 1110_012101	55	220.003	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.023	0.0018	0.0010	0.06	0.07	0.06
EN031115_025102	SB	220.143	52.88	14.59	30.06	2.253	0.8616	0.3119	118.76	5.72	158.92
EN031115 031920	SB	220.163	52.25	14.27	29.67	2.269	0.8568	0.3250	117.19	5.74	157.37
			0.02	0.02	0.12	0.030	0.0025	0.0014	0.06	0.03	0.06
EN031115_193751	SB	220.844	52.95	14.53	29.73	2.276	0.8577	0.3239	117.29	5.65	158.14
EN031115 195654	SB	220.857	53.26	14.89	29.91	2.261	0.8599	0.3168	118.16	5.37	159.03
_			0.04	0.08	0.10	0.029	0.0022	0.0011	0.09	0.10	0.09
EN031115_202247	Ν	220.875	51.46	21.69	29.92	2.262	0.8607	0.3152	298.38	3.73	159.24
EN031115_204226	SB	220.888	52.73	14.89	29.61	2.273	0.8564	0.3264	117.01	5.10	157.92
EN1021115 212210	(D)	220.016	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.011	0.0008	0.0006	0.07	0.08	0.07
EN031115_212219	SB	220.916	52.99 0.04	14.75	29.75	2.278	0.8581	0.3231	0.08	$5.40 \\ 0.04$	158.30
EN031115_212455	SB	220.918	53.50	14.24	29.89	2.254	0.8588	0.3182	118.03	6.26	158.96
EN1021115 212014	CD.	220.020	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.021	0.0016	0.0008	0.04	0.03	0.04
EN031115_213844	SB	220.928	52.78	14.81	29.60	0.023	0.8561	0.3269	0.21	5.21 0.06	0.21
EN031115_221917	SB	220.956	52.88	14.43	29.50	2.251	0.8539	0.3289	116.81	5.66	157.78
ENI021115 001007	CD	220.051	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.025	0.0020	0.0010	0.05	0.04	0.05
EN031115_221937	28	220.956	52.99 0.01	0.03	29.71	2.268	0.85/5	0.3232	0.04	5.20 0.04	158.37
EN031115_222446	SB	220.960	52.00	14.45	29.05	2.273	0.8487	0.3438	115.02	5.19	156.00
ENI021115 225600	CD	220 001	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.022	0.0017	0.0009	0.07	0.03	0.07
EN051115_223009	SD	220.981	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.015	0.0018	0.0007	0.06	0.09	0.06

Cada	Dropohl	1		2		~		~ ~ ~		;	
EN021115 220140		$\frac{\Lambda_{\odot}}{220.085}$	$\frac{\alpha_{\rm g}}{52.14}$	$\frac{0_{\rm g}}{14.52}$	$\frac{v_{g}}{20.72}$	$\frac{u}{2.260}$	e 0.8574	$\frac{q}{0.2225}$	$\frac{\omega}{117.26}$	ι 5 70	л 159.26
EN051115_250149	3D	220.965	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.026	0.0020	0.0010	0.06	0.03	0.06
EN031115_232829	SB	221.004	53.09	15.30	29.83	2.275	0.8595	0.3196	117.78	4.77	158.80
			0.01	0.02	0.05	0.013	0.0010	0.0005	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN031115_235911	S	221.025	51.85	16.41	28.35	2.058	0.8324	0.3449	115.81	2.78	156.87
EN041115 012728	SB	221 087	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.052	0.0050	0.0022	0.27	0.21	0.27
LIN041113_012726	3D	221.007	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.009	0.0008	0.0004	0.04	0.02	0.04
EN041115_020201	SB	221.111	52.55	14.48	29.24	2.260	0.8508	0.3372	115.83	5.40	156.95
			0.01	0.02	0.03	0.008	0.0006	0.0004	0.03	0.02	0.03
EN041115_021111	SB	221.117	53.60	14.77	29.98	2.274	0.8610	0.3160	118.20	5.65	159.33
EN041115 021452	SB	221 120	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.012	0.0008	0.0007	0.09	0.05	0.09
LIN041113_021432	30	221.120	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.005	0.0003	0.0002	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN041115_043317	SB	221.216	53.10	14.11	29.48	2.269	0.8539	0.3315	116.44	6.10	157.67
			0.02	0.02	0.04	0.010	0.0008	0.0005	0.04	0.03	0.04
EN041115_044559	SB	221.225	53.31	14.43	29.66	2.269	0.8564	0.3258	117.10	5.85	158.34
EN041115 202852	СD	221 000	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.010	0.0008	0.0005	0.00	0.02	0.00
EN041113_203633	3D	221.000	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.015	0.0012	0.0006	0.06	0.04	0.06
EN041115_210403	SB	221.905	53.92	15.16	29.64	2.250	0.8559	0.3242	117.34	5.13	159.26
			0.03	0.11	0.07	0.021	0.0016	0.0009	0.10	0.13	0.10
EN041115_214032	SB	221.931	53.58	14.86	29.43	2.267	0.8535	0.3321	116.37	5.30	158.32
EN041115 215226	SB	221 020	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.020	0.0016	0.0008	0.00	0.03	0.06
EN041113_213220	3D	221.939	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.009	0.0008	0.0004	0.03	0.02	0.03
EN041115_225243	SB	221.981	53.66	14.61	29.37	2.258	0.8523	0.3335	116.24	5.61	158.24
			0.02	0.03	0.10	0.028	0.0023	0.0011	0.05	0.04	0.05
EN041115_231355	SB	221.996	53.95	14.39	29.51	2.261	0.8541	0.3300	116.64	6.02	158.64
ENI051115 022102	СD	222 122	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.022	0.0018	0.0009	0.07	0.06	0.07
EN031113_023102	20	222.155	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.006	0.8550	0.0003	0.03	0.03	0.03
EN051115 183559	S	222.804	53.24	13.90	28.48	2.259	0.8398	0.3618	113.02	5.93	155.84
_			0.01	0.02	0.01	0.004	0.0004	0.0002	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN051115_185259	SB	222.816	54.30	14.56	29.26	2.270	0.8510	0.3382	115.65	5.79	158.49
EN051115 100202	СD	<u></u>	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.035	0.0028	0.0012	0.05	0.03	0.05
EN031113_190203	20	222.823	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.010	0.0008	0.0004	0.03	0.02	0.03
EN051115_203651	SB	222.889	54.18	14.44	29.11	2.266	0.8487	0.3427	115.15	5.83	158.06
			0.03	0.03	0.05	0.013	0.0010	0.0006	0.06	0.03	0.06
EN051115_205304	Ν	222.900	53.87	21.71	29.26	2.076	0.8461	0.3195	298.63	2.98	161.50
EN051115 212802	SB	222.024	0.02	0.01	20.02	0.005	0.0004	0.0003	0.04	0.01	0.04
EN051115_212002	30	222.924	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.011	0.0009	0.0005	0.05	0.04	0.05
EN051115_213128	SB	222.927	54.20	14.90	29.14	2.259	0.8492	0.3405	115.43	5.31	158.38
			0.09	0.16	0.11	0.033	0.0024	0.0017	0.21	0.19	0.21
EN051115_213433	SB	222.929	53.79	14.60	28.83	2.255	0.8448	0.3499	114.38	5.43	157.32
EN051115 220108	SB	222 947	53.92	14 47	28.88	2 257	0.0000	0.0003	114 50	0.02 5.64	157.47
LIN051115_220100	50	222.747	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.007	0.0006	0.0003	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN051115_221253	SB	222.956	54.30	14.77	29.13	2.252	0.8488	0.3404	115.47	5.50	158.44
			0.05	0.02	0.03	0.011	0.0006	0.0007	0.11	0.03	0.11
EN051115_221501	Ν	222.957	51.92	21.71	28.80	2.253	0.8454	0.3484	294.60	3.32	157.53
EN051115 221006	SB	222 060	54 28	15 20	29.16	2.021	0.0018	0.0008	0.02	0.02 5.00	0.02 158 70
LIN031113_221700	00	222.900	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.014	0.0012	0.0006	0.06	0.03	0.06
EN051115_225625	SB	222.986	54.09	14.54	28.99	2.262	0.8471	0.3458	114.81	5.65	157.81
	~		0.01	0.04	0.03	0.008	0.0006	0.0004	0.04	0.05	0.04
EN051115_225852	S	222.988	53.90	15.19	28.53	2.150	0.8373	0.3498	114.83	4.74	157.84
EN051115 231201	SB	222 997	54 25	15.06	29.15	2 260	0.0010	0.0005	0.02	0.01 5.15	0.02
211031113_231201	60		0.01	0.00	0.04	0.010	0.0009	0.0004	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN051115_232719	Ν	223.007	55.29	21.85	29.42	1.944	0.8445	0.3023	301.24	2.86	164.22
			0.04	0.03	0.00	0.005	0.0001	0.0005	0.08	0.05	0.08

Code	Branch <sup>1</sup>	$\lambda_{\odot}$	$\alpha_{\rm g}$	$\delta_{ m g}$	vg	а	е	q	ω	i	π
EN051115_234939	SB	223.023	54.18	14.47	29.01	2.263	0.8473	0.3454	114.86	5.76	157.90
EN051115 235119	SB	223.024	54.53	0.01 14.98	29.35	2.274	0.0010	0.0003	0.02	5.39	0.02
-	CD	222.042	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.023	0.0018	0.0009	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN061115_001740	SB	223.042	54.44 0.01	14.66 0.01	29.23 0.03	2.275	0.8508	0.3394 0.0003	0.01	5.70 0.01	158.55 0.01
EN061115_002202	S	223.045	52.54	15.27	28.14	2.261	0.8362	0.3704	112.04	4.10	155.11
FN061115_003508	SB	223 055	0.02 54 24	0.02	0.09	0.025	0.0022	0.0011	0.05	0.02 4 79	0.05
LIN001115_005500	50	225.055	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.018	0.0014	0.0008	0.07	0.06	0.07
EN061115_005009	S	223.065	54.31	15.97	29.26	2.251	0.8511	0.3350	116.08	4.13	159.16
EN061115_011233	SB	223.081	54.27	14.94	29.08	2.256	0.8484	0.3420	115.27	5.27	158.36
ENIQC1115 011441	CD	222.092	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.010	0.0008	0.0004	0.03	0.03	0.03
EN001115_011441	28	223.082	0.09	0.05	28.72	2.263 0.029	0.8440	0.3530	0.19	4.67	0.19
EN061115_011623	SB	223.083	54.04	14.54	28.91	2.266	0.8462	0.3483	114.51	5.59	157.61
EN061115_025156	SB	223.150	0.02 54.42	0.03	29.17	0.032	0.0027	0.0014	0.06	0.03 5.67	158.38
			0.22	0.03	0.08	0.041	0.0021	0.0027	0.43	0.09	0.43
EN061115_030548	S	223.160	54.69 0.02	16.07 0.03	29.54 0.05	2.276 0.014	0.8557 0.0011	0.3284	116.74 0.05	$4.18 \\ 0.04$	159.92 0.05
EN061115_040629	SB	223.202	54.36	14.47	29.01	2.263	0.8473	0.3456	114.83	5.80	158.05
EN061115 164758	SB	<u> </u>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.004	0.0003	0.0002	0.03	0.01	0.03
EN001115_104758	3D	223.132	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.002	0.0002	0.0001	0.01	0.01	0.01
EN061115_174311	SB	223.771	54.88	15.22	29.14	2.279	0.8499	0.3421	115.16	5.11	158.95
EN071115 015331	SB	224.112	54.80	14.66	28.71	2.260	0.8432	0.3543	113.84	0.02 5.58	0.03 157.97
	CD.	225 002	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.030	0.0027	0.0014	0.09	0.03	0.09
EN081115_010613	SB	225.083	55.57 0.04	14.79	28.62	2.271	0.8422	0.3584	0.08	5.58 0.04	158.43
EN081115_033341	SB	225.186	55.71	14.71	28.57	2.257	0.8410	0.3589	113.33	5.69	158.53
FN081115 181258	SB	225 799	0.04 55.87	0.06 14 75	0.11	0.028 2 264	0.0025	0.0014	0.10	0.07	0.10
LI1001115_101250	55	223.177	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.003	0.0003	0.0002	0.02	0.02	0.02
EN081115_202907	SB	225.894	55.47	14.56	28.02	2.274	0.8341	0.3774	$111.17 \\ 0.04$	5.55 0.02	$157.08 \\ 0.04$
EN081115_212839	SB	225.935	55.15	14.83	27.80	2.260	0.8307	0.3827	110.63	5.12	156.58
ENI091115 224417	СD	226 020	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.007	0.0006	0.0003	0.01	0.01	0.01
EINU01115_234417	3D	220.030	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.021	0.0019	0.0009	0.05	0.02	0.05
EN091115_001801	SB	226.053	55.15	15.25	27.82	2.272	0.8318	0.3822	110.63	4.67	156.71
EN091115 003545	SB	226.066	55.43	15.02	27.91	2.261	0.0038	0.0017	111.02	0.05 5.01	157.11
			0.05	0.02	0.03	0.011	0.0008	0.0007	0.09	0.03	0.09
EN091115_011246	SB	226.092	55.46 0.04	14.33	0.11	2.237	0.8282	0.3842	0.11	5.71 0.10	156.66 0.11
EN091115_011650	SB	226.094	55.36	15.19	27.87	2.258	0.8318	0.3799	110.94	4.80	157.06
FN091115_032502	SB	226 184	0.02	0.03	0.12	0.029	0.0028	0.0013	0.04	0.04	0.04
LI(0)1115_052502	55	220.104	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.007	0.0006	0.0004	0.04	0.03	0.04
EN091115_041944	Ν	226.222	56.51	21.89	29.90 0.06	2.387 0.017	0.8639	0.3248 0.0007	296.79 0.05	2.51 0.03	162.97
EN101115_212402	SB	227.942	56.75	15.16	27.47	2.242	0.8252	0.3918	109.66	5.03	157.62
EN101115 225401	¢р	228 047	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.015	0.0014	0.0007	0.07	0.03	0.07
EN101113_255401	SD	220.047	0.04	0.02	0.03	2.200	0.0287	0.3870	0.08	4.84 0.03	0.08
EN111115_004713	S	228.084	56.33	13.94	27.01	2.256	0.8187	0.4090	107.68	5.99	155.77
EN111115 031037	SB	228.184	0.01 57.37	0.02 14.70	0.08 27.64	0.020	0.0020 0.8275	0.3880	0.03	0.02 5.71	0.03
	~		0.12	0.23	0.06	0.026	0.0017	0.0019	0.29	0.24	0.29

Code	Branch <sup>1</sup>	$\lambda_{\odot}$	$\alpha_{\rm g}$	$\delta_{ m g}$	vg	а	е	q	ω	i	π
EN111115_181413	SB	228.815	57.27	14.96	27.30	2.266	0.8236	0.3997	108.66	5.27	157.50
EN111115 181500	SB	228 815	0.00	0.07	0.08	0.025	0.0021	0.0011	0.13	0.07	0.15
ENTITI15_101509	30	220.015	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.004	0.0002	0.0003	0.05	0.03	0.05
EN111115_184540	Ν	228.837	58.35	22.60	29.04	2.286	0.8494	0.3443	294.88	2.78	163.68
			0.13	0.02	0.06	0.026	0.0015	0.0016	0.25	0.03	0.25
EN111115_203917	SB	228.916	56.93	14.97	27.08	2.277	0.8212	0.4072	107.77	5.09	156.71
EN111115 222242	СD	220 027	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.023	0.0015	0.0015	0.24	0.05	0.24
EN111113_233243	20	229.037	0.03	0.01	0.04	2.230	0.8255	0.3970	0.06	0.02	138.08
EN121115 004717	SB	229.089	57.10	15.23	26.97	2.242	0.8183	0.4074	107.90	4.85	157.01
			0.03	0.04	0.07	0.017	0.0017	0.0008	0.07	0.04	0.07
EN121115_232341	S	230.037	56.31	14.51	25.93	2.262	0.8041	0.4431	103.82	5.00	153.88
	a D		0.02	0.05	0.05	0.014	0.0014	0.0007	0.05	0.04	0.05
EN131115_002058	SB	230.077	58.58	15.58	27.39	2.269	0.8251	0.3968	108.96	4.94	159.05
EN131115 004858	SB	230.007	58.41	15 35	0.09	0.025	0.0022	0.0011	108.42	5.00	158 54
LI(151115_00+050	50	250.077	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.023	0.0230	0.0011	0.05	0.02	0.05
EN131115_015008	SB	230.139	58.33	14.98	27.15	2.277	0.8219	0.4057	107.93	5.41	158.09
			0.01	0.01	0.03	0.009	0.0008	0.0004	0.03	0.01	0.03
EN131115_042559	SB	230.248	58.11	15.37	26.98	2.270	0.8195	0.4097	107.51	4.91	157.77
EN161115 102459	c	222.006	0.09	0.02	0.08	0.024	0.0021	0.0015	0.17	0.03	0.1/
EN101115_195456	3	255.900	0.30	0.01	23.03	2.102	0.7942	0.4430	0.06	0.01	0.06
EN161115 213048	SB	233.987	60.23	15.09	25.86	2.257	0.8025	0.4457	103.50	5.20	157.51
21001110_210010	02	2001/07	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.009	0.0010	0.0004	0.02	0.01	0.02
EN161115_222246	S	234.023	67.52	16.82	29.10	1.957	0.8372	0.3185	119.17	6.26	173.21
	~~		0.06	0.02	0.09	0.018	0.0019	0.0011	0.12	0.03	0.12
EN171115_020907	SB	234.182	60.80	15.58	26.20	2.273	0.8083	0.4357	104.54	4.94	158.74
EN171115 022102	SB	23/ 100	0.02 60.40	15 51	25.01	2 260	0.0001	0.0004	103.68	0.08	0.00
EIN171115_022102	30	234.190	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.010	0.0010	0.0005	0.03	0.02	0.03
EN231115 012005	Ν	240.202	66.46	24.65	26.27	2.147	0.8035	0.4219	286.63	2.93	166.80
—			0.03	0.01	0.03	0.009	0.0009	0.0005	0.06	0.01	0.06
EN231115_224311	S	241.102	69.19	16.03	25.73	1.992	0.7853	0.4277	106.76	5.83	167.88
ENIQ01115 105251	C	246.020	0.16	0.02	0.06	0.022	0.0019	0.0020	0.31	0.04	0.31
EN281115_195251	8	246.038	/0.8/	16.55	25.18	2.300	0.7936	0.47/47/	99.91	5.12	165.97
			0.05	0.02	0.05	0.010	0.0010	0.0000	0.05	0.02	0.05

Table A.2. Physical data of 2015 Taurid fireballs.

Code	Branch	1)	$H_{1}$	<i>H</i>	H	71	Mass	Mag	PE	Type
Code	Drailen	$km s^{-1}$	km	km	km	deg	ka	Mag	I L	Type
EN231015 204348	2	36.08	106.3	73.2	50.7	$\frac{ucg}{54.7}$	0.0055	_7.5	_4 52	T
EN231015_204346	N	30.90	06.0	64.0	578	28.7	0.0033	-7.5	-4.52	I T
EN231015_211527	IN N	24.25	102.0	65 7	57.0 64.9	21 4	0.0021	-4.2	4.51	I II
EN241015_004340	IN C	20.59	103.9	72.0	65.6	67.2	0.0022	-0.2	-4.09	п
EN241015_165051	S CD	24.20	90.0	15.9	60.7	07.5	0.012	-0.5	-4.8/	
EN251015_022301	SB	34.30	104.5	71.0	09./	44.0 52.2	0.18	-12.0	-5.8/	шв
EN251015_031725	SB	33.40 24.20	108.3	/1.1	64.0	32.3	0.0011	-4.9	-4./1	II TI
EN201015_215/30	SB	34.38	91.9	72.2	04.0	45.4	0.0048	-0.4	-4.90	11 11
EN201015_224031	SB	33.95	94.6	72.1	61.3	38.2	0.0041	-0.5	-4.78	
EN2/1015_220/49	SB	33.75	94.3	/1.6	62.4	41.9	0.20	-10.5	-5.52	IIIA
EN281015_011855	2	28.87	90.1	11.9	65.8	42.8	0.0023	-5.0	-4.98	II H
EN301015_222401	SB	32.98	104.0	67.5	65.5	38.9	0.0047	-8.9	-5.04	II H
EN311015_002325	N	30.38	102.7	68.1	59.3	32.0	0.0037	-6.3	-4.//	
EN311015_023900	SB	32.63	105.5	80.9	68.5	47.5	0.0055	-6.3	-5.17	
EN311015_025/17	SB	32.63	99.8	/1./	57.9	53.3	0.21	-11.5	-5.19	II T
EN311015_172431	SB	33.05	102.0	87.4	81.9	76.5	0.0010	-3.5	-5.12	II WVD
EN311015_180520	SB	33.06	114.7	80.8	57.6	72.1	1300	-18.6	-6.31	IIIB
EN311015_182902	SB	32.61	99.0	79.0	74.0	69.8	0.0005	-4.0	-4.66	II T
EN311015_185530	SB	32.80	103.7	77.1	69.4	63.7	0.0006	-3.0	-4.55	11
EN311015_192126	S	31.90	99.9	69.9	69.5	62.6	0.0006	-3.5	-4.62	11
EN311015_200534	SB	32.76	100.3	76.7	67.2	52.2	0.0020	-5.1	-4.85	11
EN311015_202117	SB	32.43	105.7	60.3	55.2	53.5	0.0070	-8.0	-4.43	I
EN311015_211904	SB	33.08	102.8	75.5	71.3	46.8	0.039	-10.2	-5.68	IIIB
EN311015_230919	SB	32.78	100.2	69.9	64.1	33.6	0.0006	-3.8	-4.61	Π
EN311015_231301	SB	32.56	120.0	74.4	57.3	36.9	34	-15.8	-6.19	IIIB
EN011115_013625	SB	32.41	98.8	69.0	58.2	41.0	0.021	-9.5	-4.92	II
EN011115_033911	Ν	32.92	101.2	74.7	71.6	54.0	0.0081	-9.4	-5.33	IIIA
EN011115_174410	SB	32.64	102.3	71.7	67.2	75.6	0.0089	-6.6	-4.62	II
EN011115_183646	S	32.55	95.0	82.3	80.1	65.8	0.0002	-2.4	-5.00	II
EN011115_191104	SB	32.24	104.3	78.4	73.8	63.4	0.0049	-4.1	-5.00	II
EN011115_200918	SB	32.47	93.2	64.5	61.3	53.2	0.0030	-6.9	-4.60	II
EN011115_223909	SB	32.52	102.2	76.5	62.8	37.3	0.0021	-4.6	-4.72	II
EN011115_234207	SB	32.60	96.3	71.5	57.6	31.3	0.0083	-7.5	-4.77	II
EN021115_020950	SB	32.05	99.8	77.0	69.7	45.1	0.0020	-6.1	-5.09	II
EN021115_021740	SB	32.00	94.0	74.9	68.2	45.1	0.0008	-4.2	-4.83	II
EN021115_022525	SB	32.38	107.5	75.2	63.3	45.3	0.20	-10.9	-5.57	IIIA
EN021115_024553	Ν	29.72	99.9	59.3	53.9	47.5	0.027	-7.8	-4.68	II
EN021115_182450	SB	31.93	94.9	72.7	62.7	71.2	0.031	-7.8	-4.76	II
EN021115_195540	SB	32.46	100.8	79.0	75.1	55.8	0.0018	-5.3	-5.25	IIIA
EN021115_201534	SB	32.19	102.9	76.5	72.1	53.6	0.0002	-2.1	-4.73	II
EN021115_205431	Ν	33.00	111.9	76.5	73.8	42.9	0.0003	-3.2	-4.98	II
EN021115_213614	SB	32.17	97.5	70.8	60.7	45.4	0.15	-11.3	-5.37	IIIA
EN021115_215818	SB	32.16	99.5	77.0	73.3	40.8	0.0017	-6.7	-5.31	IIIA
EN021115_220435	SB	32.11	108.6	70.8	63.6	39.3	0.0010	-6.1	-4.68	II
EN021115_232112	SB	31.80	94.4	54.1	48.4	35.5	0.015	-9.3	-4.39	Ι
EN021115_234348	S	30.66	100.5	78.5	70.9	32.8	0.0001	-1.9	-4.78	II
EN021115_235259	SB	31.98	100.5	62.9	58.9	33.2	0.0031	-7.5	-4.68	II
EN031115_002007	SB	31.78	101.9	73.3	58.7	35.1	0.0004	-3.0	-4.28	Ι
EN031115 011247	SB	32.10	98.8	81.0	67.4	37.9	0.0003	-2.6	-4.67	II
EN031115_012404	SB	31.87	97.6	71.6	61.1	41.9	0.0015	-4.3	-4.59	II
EN031115 025102	SB	31.85	99.8	73.0	69.1	48.4	0.0004	-3.0	-4.71	Π
EN031115 031920	SB	31.43	97.5	81.5	71.3	56.1	0.0005	-3.2	-4.80	Π
EN031115 193751	SB	31.99	102.6	80.3	69.9	57.8	0.0053	-6.4	-5.12	Π
EN031115 195654	SB	32.13	97.7	69.1	68.4	54.6	0.0055	-9.1	-5.09	Π
EN031115 202247	Ν	32.12	94.4	79.1	72.8	44.5	0.0008	-4.2	-5.12	Π
EN031115_204226	SB	31.84	100.9	65.9	59.2	51.0	0.0013	-4.9	-4.37	Ι

**Notes.** The entry velocity, heights of beginning, maximum brightness and end, average zenith distance of the radiant, photometric mass, maximum absolute magnitude, PE coefficient, and classification according to PE are given. Code of each fireball contains also date (in ddmmyy format) and GMT time corresponding to beginning rounded to whole second (in hhmmss format).

Code	Branch	vm	Hbeg	Hmax	Hend	Zrad	Mass	Mag	PE	Type
		$km s^{-1}$	km	km	km	deg	kg	8		-71-5
EN031115 212219	SB	31.92	96.5	69.6	68.1	42.1	0.0017	-6.7	-4.99	II
EN031115 212455	SB	32.04	99.1	64.8	59.8	41.7	0.013	-9.8	-4.92	П
EN031115 213844	SB	31.77	101.5	72.7	66.8	42.9	0.0029	-6.5	-5.01	Π
EN031115_221917	SB	31.63	101.6	83.8	74.6	38.5	0.0003	-2.9	-5.08	П
EN031115 221937	SB	31.82	98.9	64.6	59.9	39.6	0.0047	-77	-4 77	П
EN031115 222446	SB	31 19	100.8	72.9	69.4	36.2	0.0003	-2.6	-4.78	П
EN031115_225609	SB	32.06	95.9	72.0	61 7	35.7	0.0008	-3.8	-4 57	T
EN031115_230149	SB	31.78	99.1	74 5	66.1	33.1	0.0010	_47	-4.85	п
EN031115_232829	SB	31.85	98.6	69.5	59.2	34.9	0.0010	-7.0	-4.68	п
EN031115_235911	S	30.41	98.1	76.1	66.8	30.1	0.0030	-8.4	-5.40	ША
EN041115_012728	SB	31.16	106.5	63.7	48.1	39.5	0.0012	_4 1	_3.10	I
EN041115_020201	SB	31.10	98.1	63.9	58.1	45.9	0.0010	-6.3	-4 56	T
EN041115_020201	SB	31.80	100.2	717	67.2	42.5	0.0055	-12.7	-5.96	IIIR
EN041115_021452	SB	31.50	100.2	68.4	63.8	45.1	0.77	_8.8	-5.01	п
EN041115_043317	SB	31.22	102.0	83.0	75.3	65 7	0.0070	-3.0	_4.88	п
EN041115_044559	SB	31.22	101.0	827	75.3	65.8	0.0005	-2.6	-4.80	п
EN041115_044557	SB	31.00	95.0	74 9	60.2	48.2	0.0005	-10.6	-5 30	
EN041115_200000	SB	31.90	98.7	647	62 Q	45.1	0.031	-10.0	-5.37	П
EN041115_214032	SB	31.51	94.1	68.2	56.2	38.3	0.051	_0.8	_4 81	п
EN041115_215226	SB	31.50	03.0	64.2	52.6	40.1	0.010	_4.2	_4 20	T
EN041115_215220	SB	31.00	07.3	67.0	52.0 60.4	35 /	0.0032	-5.6	-4.61	п
EN041115_225245	SB	31.45	023	717	63.5	35.5	0.0013	-3.0	-4.01 -5.25	ША
EN051115_231333	SB	31.50	92.5	65 7	56.0	17 8	0.019	-9.2	-4.60	П
EN051115_025102	SD	30.84	90.0	68.3	65.3	67.2	0.011	-0.0	-4.80	п
EN051115_185250	SB	31 57	99.9 00 7	70.6	05.5 75 7	64 A	0.0007	-7.0	-4.80	п
EN051115_100203	SB	31.57	99.7	79.0	72.5	63.0	0.0004	-3.5	-4.85	п
EN051115_190205	SB	31.01	101.1	78.5	66.3	47.6	0.0008	-6.3	-4.03	П
EN051115_205304	N	31.55	101.1	70.0	57 4	38.5	0.0010	-10.3	-5.20	п
EN051115_203304	SB	31.40	96.5	70.0	65.5	43 7	0.005	_4 7	_4 84	п
EN051115_212002	SB	31.27	91 A	70.8	66.3	43.7	0.0010	-10.5	-5.62	
EN051115_213433	SB	31.03	105.9	62.2	58.1	41.0	0.020	-7.4	-4.63	П
EN051115_220108	SB	31.05	99.3	70.8	66 0	38.9	0.0050	-10.0	-5 54	ША
EN051115_220100	SB	31.05	102.4	64.7	60.0	36.6	0.030	_9.6	_4 99	П
EN051115_221255	N	30.95	102.4	77.0	70.8	29.9	0.0005	_3.0	-5.02	п
EN051115_221906	SB	31.28	96.7	76.3	67.6	35.5	0.0005	-4.0	_4 84	п
EN051115_225625	SB	31.10	99.9	76.7	71.2	35.2	0.0003	-3.1	-4.90	п
EN051115_225852	S	30.66	98.7	73.3	63.7	34.9	0.0000	-5.7	-4.85	п
EN051115_223032	SB	31.22	105.3	72.0	62.1	32.3	0.0017	-11.0	-5 52	ША
EN051115_232719	N	31.48	101.0	71.0	64.6	25.4	0.0018	-6.2	-4.94	П
EN051115_234939	SB	31.05	102.5	61.5	57.0	34.2	0.0026	-6.5	-4 56	I
EN051115_235119	SB	31.37	99.3	73.7	63.7	33.4	0.0019	-6.8	-4.85	П
EN061115_001740	SB	31.20	104.8	68.5	61.1	35.2	0.0044	-7.8	-4.86	п
EN061115_002202	S	30.20	102.2	62.9	54.0	33.4	0.0009	-43	-4.22	I
EN061115_003508	SB	31.10	100.4	66.6	65.3	36.5	0.0052	-9.1	-5.11	п
EN061115_005009	S	31.20	94.2	74.4	64.2	37.9	0.0045	-7.8	-5.01	П
EN061115_011233	SB	31.02	98.9	60.1	57.5	38.5	0.0031	-8.1	-4 58	I
EN061115_011441	SB	30.67	98.9	83.6	71.9	37.0	0.0003	-3.4	-4 94	п
EN061115_011623	SB	30.85	97.2	69.0	64 5	39.9	0.0004	-2.9	-4 57	I
EN061115_025156	SB	30.98	94 5	77 5	73.2	50.1	0.0026	-5.0	-5.31	IIIA
EN061115_030548	S	31 33	98.8	75.9	71.7	51.5	0.0020	-73	-5.15	П
EN061115_040629	SB	30.78	101.1	71 1	65.0	63 3	0.0100	-8.2	-4 89	Π
EN061115 164758	SB	31.28	104.0	797	74.0	83.3	0.0098	-5.1	-4 64	Π
EN061115_174311	SB	31 47	99.6	79.8	76.5	73 3	0.0018	-4.2	-4 99	Π
EN071115_015331	SB	30.60	104.4	767	59.0	43.7	3.6	-13.8	-5.92	IIIR
EN081115_010613	SB	30.59	92.4	78 7	71 5	37.9	0.0014	-59	-5.22	П
EN081115_033341	SB	30.41	96.4	72.3	70.0	57.5	0.0017	-53	-4 95	Π
EN081115 181258	SB	30.69	99.1	65.9	65.6	70.2	0.049	-9.8	-5.05	Î
EN081115_202907	SB	30.35	100.2	68.1	66.6	50.0	0.0020	-6.3	-4.90	П

Table A.2. continued.

Code	Branch	$v_{\infty}$	$H_{\rm beg}$	$H_{\rm max}$	Hend	Z <sub>rad</sub>	Mass	Mag	PE	Туре
		$\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	km	km	km	deg	kg			
EN081115_212839	SB	30.08	103.1	68.3	57.6	41.3	0.0022	-5.0	-4.52	Ι
EN081115_234417	SB	30.10	99.4	70.9	63.4	33.0	0.0062	-7.9	-5.08	II
EN091115_001801	SB	29.90	102.9	77.6	72.4	33.3	0.0009	-5.2	-5.25	II
EN091115_003545	SB	29.97	96.2	69.0	53.2	33.5	0.041	-9.3	-4.85	II
EN091115_011246	SB	29.73	88.7	75.7	70.3	40.5	0.0015	-5.0	-5.15	II
EN091115_011650	SB	29.88	98.4	76.5	71.4	38.5	0.0003	-3.3	-4.96	II
EN091115_032502	SB	30.13	99.6	78.8	73.4	54.7	0.0015	-4.5	-5.18	IIIA
EN091115_041944	Ν	31.62	99.3	73.9	67.8	61.1	0.0052	-8.5	-4.95	II
EN101115_212402	SB	29.77	102.4	63.5	58.4	41.5	0.011	-9.6	-4.86	II
EN101115_235401	SB	29.75	98.1	71.7	66.3	33.5	0.12	-10.9	-5.78	IIIB
EN111115_004713	S	29.11	97.3	63.9	56.9	35.8	0.022	-9.6	-4.97	II
EN111115_031037	SB	29.55	95.0	71.6	65.9	54.8	0.91	-13.5	-5.92	IIIB
EN111115_181413	SB	29.76	97.2	72.0	62.1	66.6	0.23	-11.4	-5.26	IIIA
EN111115_181509	SB	29.66	98.5	74.1	69.2	65.3	0.27	-11.8	-5.70	IIIB
EN111115_184540	Ν	31.36	102.0	65.9	72.0	59.4	0.0010	-3.3	-4.92	II
EN111115_203917	SB	29.47	93.5	77.6	74.5	46.5	0.0010	-3.8	-5.29	IIIA
EN111115_233243	SB	29.51	98.9	68.1	60.1	32.4	0.014	-8.3	-5.07	II
EN121115_004717	SB	29.07	96.9	74.4	71.6	36.9	0.0008	-5.4	-5.17	II
EN121115_232341	S	28.20	95.7	74.2	66.6	33.8	0.0005	-3.4	-4.83	II
EN131115_002058	SB	29.48	97.4	77.4	66.1	36.0	0.0074	-8.2	-5.25	IIIA
EN131115_004858	SB	29.34	94.5	79.2	67.7	35.8	0.0003	-2.3	-4.76	II
EN131115_015008	SB	29.17	103.1	74.7	61.2	43.8	0.0014	-5.0	-4.63	II
EN131115_042559	SB	28.90	93.7	75.9	74.2	64.1	0.0009	-3.5	-5.01	II
EN161115_193458	S	28.19	101.5	60.8	56.0	53.5	0.0079	-6.1	-4.58	Ι
EN161115_213048	SB	28.28	100.4	70.5	59.4	39.6	0.017	-10.1	-5.05	II
EN161115_222246	S	31.25	97.9	74.3	69.1	35.2	0.0004	-3.6	-4.86	II
EN171115_020907	SB	28.26	93.5	68.8	62.0	46.6	0.0062	-6.2	-4.93	II
EN171115_022102	SB	27.98	95.1	66.2	59.0	47.5	0.049	-10.6	-5.16	II
EN231115_012005	Ν	28.37	93.2	64.7	57.5	33.2	0.0040	-5.8	-4.72	II
EN231115_224311	S	28.06	96.8	68.5	57.0	31.7	0.074	-10.3	-5.25	II
EN281115_195251	S	27.74	108.0	78.2	64.7	50.3	0.0016	-4.1	-4.80	II